

County Council

30 June 2026

Schedule of Business

14. Committees and Review of Political Balance (Pages 3 - 8)

Report by the Director of Law & Governance and Monitoring Officer

Annex 2 attached.

Committee membership – 30 June 2026

	Liberal Democrat	Oxfordshire Alliance	Labour & Cooperative	Green
Audit & Governance (9)	5	1	2	1
	Roz Smith	Saj Malik	James Fry	Gavin McLauchlan
	Leigh Rawlins		Andrew Crichton	
	Ron Batstone			
	John Shiri			
	David Hingley			
BOB HOSC (7)	4	1	1	1
	Jane Hanna	Paul Austin Sargent	Izzy Creed	Robin Jones
	Ron Batstone			
	David Hingley			
	Jenny Hannaby			
Charlotte Coxe Trust Committee (5)	3	1	1	
	Maggie Filipova-Rivers	Ian Snowdon	Geoff Saul	
	Matt Webb			
	Ben Higgins			
Education & Young People OSC (9)	5	1	2	1
	Toyah Overton	James Plumb	Liz Brighthouse	Emma Markham
	Johnny Hope-Smith		Izzy Creed	
	Georgina Heritage			
	Andy Graham			

	Bethia Thomas			
	Liberal Democrat	Oxfordshire Alliance	Labour & Cooperative	Green
Horton HOSC (8)	5	1	1	1
	Jane Hanna	Kieron Mallon	Mark Cherry	Robin Jones
	Matt Webb			
	Chris Brant			
	David Hingley			
	Lesley McLean			
Oxfordshire Joint HOSC (7)	4	1	1	1
	Jane Hanna	Paul Austin Sargent	Imade Edosomwan	Emma Garnett
	Ron Batstone			
	David Hingley			
	Jenny Hannaby			
Pension Fund Committee (7)	4	2	1	
	Peter Stevens	Nick Field-Johnson	Imade Edosomwan	
	Leigh Rawlins	David Henwood		
	Dan Levy			
	Vacant			
People OSC (9)	5	2	1	1
	Will Boucher-Giles	Lee Evans	Imade Edosomwan	James Barlow
	Jenny Hannaby	Ian Snowdon		
	Georgina Heritage			
	Toyah Overton			
	Andy Graham			

Performance & Corporate Services OSC (9)	5	1	2	1
	Ron Batstone	Kieron Mallon	Brad Baines	Ian Middleton
	Tom Greenaway		Glynis Phillips	
	Will Boucher-Giles			
	John Shiri			
	Andrew Gant			
Place OSC (9)	5	2	1	1
	Lesley Maclean	Thomas Ashby	Susanna Pressel	Emily Kerr
	Chris Brant	Liam Walker		
	Bethia Thomas			
	Ron Batstone			
	Leigh Rawlins			
Planning & Regulation Committee (12)	7	2	2	1
	Diana Lugova	Paul Austin Sargent	Mark Cherry	Gavin McLauchlan
	Tony Worgan	David Henwood	Geoff Saul	
	Ron Batstone			
	Lesley Maclean			
	Judy Roberts			
	Jenny Hannaby			
	Vacant			
Remuneration Committee (6)	4	1	1	
(and its sub-committees)	Liz Leffman	James Robertshaw	Liz Brighthouse	
	Neil Fawcett			

	Andy Graham			
	Tim Bearder			

The following appointments are not subject to the rules of political balance:

	Liberal Democrat	Oxfordshire Alliance	Labour & Cooperative	Green
Corporate Parenting Panel (9)	5	2	2	
	Judy Roberts	James Plumb	Izzy Creed	
	Jane Hanna	James Robertshaw	Liz Brighthouse	
	Toyah Overton			
	Sean Gaul			
	Judith Edwards			
Oxfordshire County Council & Employees Joint Consultative Committee (7)	4	1	1	1
	Roz Smith	Thomas Ashby	Glynis Phillips	Emma Garnett
	Neil Fawcett			
	Diana Lugova			
	Judith Edwards			
Oxfordshire County Council & Teachers Joint Committee (5)	3	1	1	
(LibDem gain from Greens)	Maggie Filipova-Rivers	David Henwood	Liz Brighthouse	
	Andy Graham			
	Andrew Gant			
Oxfordshire County Council	4	1	1	1

Joint Consultative Committee for Uniformed Members of the Fire Service (7)				
(LibDem gain from non-grouped)	Neil Fawcett	Kieron Mallon	Mark Lygo	Emma Markham
	Bethia Thomas			
	Leslie McLean			
	Jenny Hannaby			
Thames Valley Police & Crime Panel (1)	1			
	Gareth Epps			

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Annex

OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

MEETING OF COUNCIL – 30 JUNE 2026

SCHEDULE OF BUSINESS

ITEM/TIME	ITEM	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Secondar; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
1 10:30	Minutes To approve the minutes of the Annual Council meeting held on 12 May 2026 (CC1a) and the minutes of the Extraordinary Council Meeting on 20 May 2026 (CC1b).	
2	Apologies for Absence	
3	Declarations of Interest	
4 10:35 10 mins	Official Communications Two officers of the Council have received awards in the King’s Birthday Honours. Jacqui Gitau, Family Help Practitioner in Children's Social Care, has been awarded an MBE for services to migrant families in Oxfordshire. Jacqui founded African Families in the UK after witnessing how many families were falling through the gaps, sometimes due to systemic barriers, and sometimes simply because they lacked timely information about education, health, and social care. Congratulations to Jacqui on this well-deserved recognition. Our Chief Executive, Dr Martin Reeves, has been awarded an OBE in recognition of his outstanding service to local government. He was Chief Executive of Coventry City Council for over 14 years, during which time Coventry became the first Marmot City in the country.	

He was also instrumental in establishing the West Midlands Mayoral Combined Authority and was its first Chief Executive from March 2016 to September 2017. Congratulations to Martin as we continue to benefit from his vision and drive.

A full list of Oxfordshire award recipients in the King's Birthday Honours is attached at Annex 1 to the Schedule of Business.

A list of the events attended by the Chair and Vice-Chair since the last Council meeting is in Annex 2.

Sadly, two former chairs of this Council have passed away recently. The death has occurred of a former chair of this Council and of Cherwell District Council, Timothy Hallchurch MBE. Tim was elected Conservative Member for the division of Otmoor & Kidlington in 2005 and 2009 and for Otmoor Division in 2013.

Former Councillor Hallchurch was also a Member of Cherwell District Council from 2002 to 2022, including a year as Chair in 2011/2012. He chaired this Council in 2013/2014 and served on many committees including Audit, Corporate Governance, HOSC and Planning and Regulation.

The funeral service will take place at Holy Cross Church, Shipton-on-Cherwell, on Friday, 3rd July at 12.00pm.

Former County Councillor John Jones was the Liberal Democrat Member for Grove Division from May 1989 until he stood down ahead of the May 1997 election. He had previously been a member of Shrewsbury Borough Council from 1964-1968, and a senior probation officer in Oxford.

Having been elected as Chair of Oxfordshire County Council in 1992, former Cllr Jones was then unusually re-elected for a second term of office, following the 1993 Oxfordshire

	<p>County Council elections. He served on the Environmental Committee (1989-1992) and Education Committee from 1992.</p> <p>Former Cllr Jones was extremely proud of his service as a member of Oxfordshire County Council and has requested that this Former Chair's Badge of Office be placed on his coffin as it enters the church for his funeral which will take place at 2.00pm on Thursday, 6th August in St.John the Baptist Church, Broadclyst, Devon.</p> <p>Details of both services have been emailed to Members.</p> <p>Our thoughts are with their families and friends. Council will observe a minute's silence.</p>	
5	<p>Appointments</p> <p>Appointments to committees are dealt with under Item 14 Committees and Review of Political Balance.</p>	
<p>6</p> <p>10:45</p> <p>15 mins</p>	<p>Petitions and Public Address</p> <p><i>Any person may address the Council on an item on the agenda subject to the provisions in CPR 10.2 of the Constitution</i></p> <p>Petitions – 3 minutes to speak (10.1.2(ii))</p> <p>Public Address – 3 minutes to speak (10.2.1)</p>	<p>Petitions</p> <p>Matthew Jerwood – Road Safety at Rose Hill</p> <p>Emma Parker – Vehicle Restraint System on A40, London Road</p> <p>Public Address</p> <p><u>Item 9: Report of the Cabinet (Devolution)</u></p> <p>Huw James</p>
<p>7.</p> <p>11:00</p> <p>10 mins</p>	<p>Questions from Members of the Public</p> <p>See Annex 3 for questions and responses. One supplementary question allowed for each.</p> <p>1. Richard Parnham 2. Matthew Broadway</p>	<p>Epps Epps</p>

	3. Philippa Gibbons	Epps
<p>8</p> <p>11:10</p> <p>30 mins</p>	<p>Questions with Notice from Members of the Council</p> <p>See Annex 4 for questions and responses. One supplementary question allowed for each.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ley to Epps 2. Cherry to Leffman 3. Cherry to Leffman 4. Greenaway to Epps 5. Greenaway to Fawcett 6. Greenaway to Epps 7. Graham to Fawcett 8. Garnett to Bearder 9. Markham to Leffman 10. Markham to Leffman 11. Markham to Leffman 12. Walker to Bearder 13. Walker to Leffman 14. Walker to Epps 15. Malik to Leffman 16. Heritage to Epps 17. Middleton to Leffman 18. Middleton to Levy 19. Middleton to Gordon 20. Ashby to Leffman 21. Ashby to Levy 22. Ashby to Epps 23. Barlow to Leffman 24. Barlow to Leffman 25. Mallon to Bearder 26. Malik to Epps 27. Malik to Epps 28. Snowdon to Epps 29. Snowdon to Gordon 30. Withdrawn 31. Evans to Epps 32. Evans to Levy 33. Evans to Levy 34. Barlow to Epps 35. Plumb to Epps 36. Thomas to Epps 37. Thomas to Gaul 38. Sargent to Gordon 39. Sargent to Gregory 	

9	Report of the Cabinet (Pages 21 to 28)	
11:40	<u>Leader (Cllr Bearder)</u>	
30 mins	Page 21: Item 1- Local Government Reorganisation in Oxfordshire: Statutory consultation response	Q Garnett (1) Q Baines (1) Q Mallon (1) Q Evans(1)
	Page 21: Item 2 - Devolution Update - Thames Valley Strategic Authority	Q Middleton (2) Q Brighthouse (2) Q Evans (2) Q Rawlins (2) Q Baines (2) Q Pressel (2) Q Mallon (2) Q Crichton (2) Q Saul (2)
	<u>Resources and Deputy Leader (Cllr Fawcett)</u>	
	Page 21: Item 3 - Improving our Fire and Rescue Service	Q Markham (3) Q Saul (3) Q Malik (3) Q Smith (3) Q Cherry (3) Q Phillips (3) Q Pressel (3)
	<u>Local Government Reorganisation and Human Resources (Cllr Edwards)</u>	
	Page 22: Item 4 - Refresh of the Our People & Culture Strategy 2026-2028	Q Barlow (4) Q Phillips (4) Q McLauchlan (4)
	<u>Transport (Cllr Epps)</u>	
	Page 22: Item 5 - Local Transport Consolidated Funding Settlement - Local Transport Delivery Plan	Q Kerr (5) Q Fry (5) Q Henwood (5) Q Barlow (5) Q Pressel (5)
	Page 23: Item 6 - Movement and Place Plans	Q McLauchlan (6) Q Baines (6) Q Saul (6) Q Brighthouse (6)
	Page 23: Item 7 - Oxford Congestion Charge Investment Plan	Q Garnett (7) Q Baines (7) Q Henwood (7) Q Creed (7) Q Crichton (7) Q Edosomwan (7)
	Page 24: Item 8 - Updates to the Parking Standards for New Developments	Q Garnett (8) Q Fry (8)

	<p>Page 24: Item 9 - Quiet Lanes Policy & Pilot Programme</p> <p><u>Children and Young People (Cllr Gaul)</u> Page 24: Item 10 - Best Start in Life Plan</p> <p><u>Public Health and Inequalities (Cllr Gregory)</u> Page 25: Item 11 - Cost of Living Programme 2026-29</p> <p>Page 25: Item 12 - Community Cohesion Action Plan</p> <p><u>Finance, Property and Transformation (Cllr Levy)</u></p> <p>Page 25: Item 13 - Treasury Management Q3 Performance Report 2025-26</p> <p>Page 26: Item 14 - Business Management & Monitoring Report - Performance and Risk - Quarter 3 2025-26</p> <p>Page 26: Item 15 - Update on High Needs Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) Funding and Deficits</p> <p>Page 27: Item 16 - Capital Programme Update and Monitoring Report</p> <p>Page 27: Item 17 - Acquisition of a property/lease in Oxfordshire</p> <p>Page 27: Item 18 - Community Asset Transfer and Community Leasing</p>	<p>Q Garnett (9) Q Crichton (9) Q Ashby (9) Q Graham (9) Q Kerr (9) Q Baines (9) Q Henwood (9) Q Pressel (9)</p> <p>Q Markham (10) Q Brighthouse (10) Q Plumb (10) Q Hope-Smith (10) Q Creed (10) Q Pressel (10)</p> <p>Q Jones (11) Q Brighthouse (11) Q Thomas (11) Q Pressel (11)</p> <p>Q Markham (12) Q Pressel (12) Q Barlow (12)</p> <p>Q McLauchlan (13) Q Baines (13)</p> <p>Q Markham (15) Q Baines (15) Q Brighthouse (15) Q Creed (15)</p> <p>Q Baines (16) Q Roberts (16)</p> <p>Q Pressel (17) Q Higgins (17) Q Fry (17)</p> <p>Q Brighthouse (18)</p>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p><u>Environment and Economy (Cllr Gordon)</u> Page 28: Item 19 - Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRC) - Management Reprovision</p> <p><u>Scrutiny Reports</u> Page 28: Item 20</p> <p>Education and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee reports on:</p> <p>a) Local Area Partnership Monitoring and Priority Action Plan b) Education Otherwise Than At School (EOTAS) Guidance</p> <p>People Overview and Scrutiny Committee report on Domestic Abuse - Safe Accommodation Provision</p> <p>Place Overview and Scrutiny Committee reports on:</p> <p>a) Road Safety initiatives in Oxfordshire b) Repairing of defects and Superusers</p>	<p>Q Markham (19) Q Baines (19) Q Snowdon (19) Q Creed (19) Q Pressel (19)</p>
<p>10</p> <p>12:10</p> <p>10 mins</p>	<p>Scrutiny Annual Report (Pages 29-62)</p> <p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>The Council is RECOMMENDED to receive and note the Scrutiny Annual Report.</p>	<p>M Brighthouse SEC Snowdon</p> <p>S Bearder S Roberts</p>
<p>11</p> <p>12:20</p> <p>10 mins</p>	<p>Oxfordshire Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee Annual Report 2024/25 (Pages 63-94)</p> <p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>Council is RECOMMENDED to receive and NOTE the Oxfordshire Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee's (JHOSC) Annual Report set out in Annex 1.</p>	<p>M Hanna SEC Edosomwan</p> <p>S Jones S Pressel S Bearder S Cherry</p>
<p>12</p> <p>12:30</p>	<p>Report from Audit and Governance Committee - Proposed Amendments to the Constitution (Pages 95 to 204)</p>	<p>M Smith SEC Shiri</p> <p>S Baines</p>

<p>10 mins</p>	<p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>Council is RECOMMENDED to:</p> <p>a) Approve the proposed amendments to the Council's Constitution as recommended by the Constitution Working Group and Audit and Governance Committee, as set out Appendix 1, including amendment number 11;</p> <p>b) Note the amendments undertaken by the Director of Law and Governance and Monitoring Officer, in accordance with Part 7.2 of the Constitution (Scheme of Delegation to Officers), paragraph 6.4 (t) and considered by the Constitution Working Group and Audit and Governance Committee; as set out in Appendix 2;</p> <p>c) Request the Director of Law and Governance and Monitoring Officer to ensure the necessary changes are made; and</p> <p>d) Note that a final edit of the Constitution covering layout and grammar will be undertaken prior to publication.</p>	
<p>13</p> <p>12:40</p> <p>10 mins</p>	<p>The Use of Urgency Provisions (Pages 205 - 208)</p> <p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>Council is RECOMMENDED to note</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the exemption from Call-in of the following decision: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Cabinet on 16 June 2026 – SEND Reform Plan 	<p>M Gaul SEC Gregory</p> <p>S Markham</p>
<p>14</p> <p>12:50</p> <p>10 mins</p>	<p>Committees and Review of Political Balance (Pages 209 - 214)</p> <p>Annex 2 for this report is attached to the Schedule of Business.</p> <p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p>	<p>M Chair SEC Vice-Chair</p> <p>S Middleton S Bearder</p>

	<p>Council is RECOMMENDED</p> <p>a) To note the review of political balance of committees to reflect the current formation of the political groups as detailed in Annex 1;</p> <p>b) To appoint members to the committees of the Council as listed in Annex 2.</p>	
<p>13:00</p> <p>40 mins</p>	<p>LUNCH</p>	
<p>15</p> <p>13:40</p> <p>30 mins</p>	<p>Motion by Councillor Lee Evans</p> <p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>This Council notes that Motor Neurone Disease (MND) is a rapidly progressing condition. Tragically, a third of people die within a year of diagnosis and half within two years. As the disease progresses, symptoms worsen and people's needs increase, often unpredictably.</p> <p>This Council believes that people living with progressive or terminal conditions like MND deserve to live in safe and accessible homes, with as much independence and quality of life as possible. To the extent that the County Council is involved in ensuring this is the case, we commit to doing so.</p> <p>This Council notes that early interventions, as well as being good for individuals and their families, can save taxpayer's money by enabling people to manage their condition more effectively and reducing the need for critical interventions at a later stage.</p> <p>This Council therefore resolves to ask the Cabinet Member for Adults to review the County Council's role in the Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) and report to the People Overview & Scrutiny Committee with:</p>	<p>M Evans SEC</p> <p>Am Evans SEC</p> <p>S Middleton S Pressel S Fletcher S Barlow S Cherry S Worgan S Creed S Roberts S Hanna</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How the Council currently delivers its role in the DFG; • Timeliness over the past three years for the County Council fulfilling its role in the DFG, including assessments and referrals; • How the Council's processes could be improved, including the timeliness of assessments and the working relationship with District Councils and other partners; • Whether the County Council is acting quickly enough to ensure that target timeframes of 55 days for simple adaptations and 130 days for complex adaptations can be met for people living with MND. <p><i>Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution.</i></p>	
<p>16</p> <p>14:10</p> <p>30 mins</p>	<p>Motion by Councillor James Barlow</p> <p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>Council notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Climate impact is the Council's highest strategic risk. 2. The Oxfordshire Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (2024) identifies flooding and extreme heat as significant, growing climate risks; driven by warmer, wetter winters, more intense rainfall, prolonged summer heatwaves and increased built-up areas. 3. Impacts of climate and Nature collapse are acknowledged to be even greater than thought in 2024. They pose an existential threat to humanity. Serious adaptation must become standard operating practice similar to the fiduciary duty to council tax-payers. 	<p>M Barlow SEC Jones</p> <p>Am Henwood SEC</p> <p>S Pressel S Mallon S Higgins S Middleton S Baines S Fawcett S Phillips S Gordon S Cherry</p>

	<p>The assessment illustrates the need for large-scale space for water storage upstream, to decrease flooding impacts downstream. Urban design is also key - to both prevent localised surface-water flooding, and build temperature resilience, preventing human-made “heat islands” which drive excess residents’ deaths, especially amongst the most vulnerable.</p> <p>Council notes the success of adaptation measures depends on multi-year, multi-stakeholder and community-based collaborations at appropriate, impactful scale. Partners (e.g. Environment Agency) have 6-year funding cycles, allowing capacity and capability to develop appropriately – starkly contrasting to the Council’s annual budget-setting process.</p> <p>Council requests that cabinet considers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significantly increased investment through the Council’s remaining years’ budget process to accelerate Oxfordshire’s capacity and capability to convene and work at impactful scale, in multi-stakeholder action groups, embedding climate adaptation as standard operational procedure. • Multiple new councillor-supported, location-specific initiatives, convening, alongside officers and partners, to build resilience capability through collaborative action in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Locations to mitigate or adapt to river, groundwater, urban and flash flooding ○ Urban neighbourhoods to mitigate heat islands. <p><i>Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution.</i></p>	
17	Motion by Councillor Bethia Thomas	M Thomas SEC Roberts

<p>14:40</p> <p>30 mins</p>	<p>Movers 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</p> <p>This Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognises that dangerous parking, on pavements, in cycle lanes, around schools and in many other instances, causes significant danger and inconvenience to many people particularly those with limited mobility. • Understands that this is a county wide issue, and many representative groups have worked tirelessly to highlight these issues. • Recognises the findings from the Government's consultation, allowing the highway authority to enforce a ban on pavement parking. • Recognises that a ban on pavement parking needs to be accompanied by the consideration of parking as whole, and by extra budget from Government or else effective enforcement may not be possible. <p>Council therefore:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fully endorses the objective to end pavement parking and doing so in a way which suits local needs best. 2. Commits to supporting the use of civil enforcement powers in a way which enforces this policy effectively in a cost neutral way to the council. 3. Asks the Cabinet Member for Transport to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Oversee the introduction of an enforcement mechanism, recognising that different areas will require different solutions. b. Ensure that key stakeholders and representative groups of people with restricted mobility be consulted throughout. c. Ensure that the Council follows the progress of Government's latest research exercise into this issue, responding as appropriate. <p>Asks the Leader of the Council to write to the Minister for Local Transport to request further</p>	<p>Am Middleton SEC</p> <p>S Baines S Evans S Epps S Middleton S Phillips S Henwood S Gordon S Kerr S Chrichton S Mallon S Garnett S Edosomwan S Saul S Creed</p>
-------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>resources to be able to implement the enforcement mechanism effectively.</p> <p><i>Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution.</i></p>	
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

This page is intentionally left blank

Order of the British Empire

Commanders of the Order of the British Empire

Wendy Mira BECKER

For services to Business and to
Charitable Causes

Lisa Marie HARKER

Director, Nuffield Family Justice
Observatory. For services to Children
and Young People

Officers of the Order of the British Empire

John Gordon BOUMPHREY

Vice-President, Country Manager UK and Ireland, Amazon. For services to Technology, Consumer and Digital Commerce Industry

Helen Clare HORNBY

Founder and Chief Executive Officer, ME+EM. For services to the Fashion Industry

Members of the Order of the British Empire

Sally Elizabeth ALEXANDER

Principal and Chief Executive Officer,
Milton Keynes College Group,
Buckinghamshire. For services to
Further Education

Sarah EBERLE

For services to Horticulture

Jacquelyn Elizabeth GITAU

Director, African Families in the UK. For
services to Migrant Families in
Oxfordshire

Anthony Roy PERKINS

Chief Executive, The London Hostels
Association London Ltd. For services to
Housing and to Supporting Young
People

Craig SIMMONS

For services to Charitable Causes and
to the community in Oxford

Dr Stephen Michael SMITH

Executive Director, CO2RE and
Associate Professor of Greenhouse Gas
Removal, University of Oxford. For
services to Climate Science

Adam TWINE

Organic Farmer. For services to
Community Onshore Wind

Medallists of the Order of the British Empire

Melanie Jane HOULDERSHAW

For services to Local Theatre and Choir

Richard Geoffrey Horsford KEMP

For services to the community in
Langford, Oxfordshire

Martin Brotherton SPURRIER

For services to Charitable Causes and
to the community in Oxfordshire

Date	Event	Location	Attendance
20 May	Civic Inauguration – Lord Mayor of Oxford	Oxford	Vice-Chair
29 May	Unveiling of a plaque for Beatrice Blackwood	Oxford	Chair
9 June	Mayors and Chairs Dinner (His Majesty's Lord-Lieutenant)	Dorchester	Chair
16 June	NAPE Oxfordshire's 41st Festival of Voices	Dorchester	Chair
17 June	Oxfordshire Community and Voluntary Action Volunteer Celebration Awards	Headington	Vice-Chair
17 June	Oxfordshire Employment Annual Celebration Event	County Hall	Chair
22 June	Armed Forces – Flag Raising Ceremony	County Hall	Vice-Chair
24 June	Wittenham Rose Ceremony	Dorchester	Chair
27 June	RAF Croughton Independence Day Celebrations	RAF Croughton	Chair

This page is intentionally left blank

Questions from Members of the Public

Questions are listed in the order in which they were received.

<p>1. RICHARD PARNHAM</p> <p>How many £5 congestion charge payments have been made, since the scheme was introduced, where it has not been possible to assign the payment to a vehicle captured on the congestion charge monitoring cameras? i.e. the congestion charge payment MIGHT have been made by someone who BELIEVED they should pay the congestion charge, but was not actually required to pay the congestion charge on that specific trip?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Unfortunately, I am informed that we do not hold this information in a reportable format. To obtain it, we would need to manually review each individual payment, which as I'm sure you will appreciate is simply not practicable.</p>
<p>2. MATTHEW BROADWAY</p> <p>By when, and as a result of what specific policies being implemented, can North Oxford residents expect to see congestion ease - and journey times shortened - so both are better than before the congestion charge was introduced?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Congestion is expected to ease in north Oxford when Botley Road reopens. The closure of Botley Road in April 2023 led to significant traffic increases on Woodstock Road, which will have increased congestion on Woodstock Road and to a lesser extent Banbury Road and the wider north Oxford area.</p> <p>The benefits of the temporary congestion charge include faster and more frequent bus services as part of a broader set of transport improvements, as well as targeted interventions to reduce specific causes of congestion on key corridors such as the Woodstock and Banbury Roads</p>

3. PHILIPPA GIBBONS

Have the number of vehicle crashes on Marsh Lane increased, or decreased, since the congestion charge was introduced, compared with the same time period the year previously (i.e. November 2025 - June 2026, compared with November 2024 - June 2025)?

COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT

Confirmed data for November -December 2025 and provisional data available to 2026 to the end of May shows one slight injury collision reported in January 2026.

Data for November 2024 to June 2025 shows no collisions.

Annual collision totals for the road for the five-year period 2021-2025 are as follows:

2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
6	1	0	3	2

Questions are listed in the order in which they were received. The time allowed for this agenda item will not exceed 30 minutes. Should any questioner not have received an answer in that time, a written answer will be provided.

1. COUNCILLOR NATHAN LEY

Residents in Abingdon - and no doubt alongside many of our other towns and villages - have repeatedly raised concerns about excessively noisy vehicles, particularly late at night, on roads such as Northcourt Road near Boundary House. This causes real disturbance for people living nearby and is not simply a nuisance issue; the government has previously recognised the health impacts of prolonged environmental noise.

The Department for Transport's roadside noise camera trials recorded 1,777 activations and concluded that mobile noise cameras could offer a cost-effective way to support compliance and behavioural change on local roads. The previous government also committed, through the "Plan for Drivers", to allow councils to roll out noise cameras to target unacceptable vehicle modifications, although national guidance appears either delayed or not forthcoming.

Given this, will the Cabinet Member ask officers to explore, with Thames Valley Police and relevant government departments, whether Oxfordshire County Council could develop a local pilot of acoustic/noise camera technology, using Northcourt Road near Boundary House in

COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT

I am happy to ask officers to look into this and determine what the relevant powers and responsibilities are across the two tiers of Oxfordshire councils and Thames Valley Police.

<p>Abingdon as a potential trial site, and report back on the legal, technical, enforcement and funding issues involved?</p>	
<p>2. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY</p> <p>Could the Cabinet Member urgently look into the situation with alleyway access for members of the public from Prescott Close to Mold Crescent?</p> <p>The old concrete pavements have failed due to tree roots and need to be reinstated by Oxfordshire County Council contractors. This has been an ongoing issue for a number of years and as the local member for Banbury Ruscote, I have had concerns raised by residents of Prescott Close.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>I am sorry to learn about the issues you have highlighted, particularly the deterioration of the concrete footway as a result of tree root activity, and the impact this is having on residents using the route.</p> <p>Concrete is a notoriously difficult material to maintain in these circumstances, as it is less tolerant to movement caused by tree roots compared to more flexible surfacing types. This can lead to recurring issues where underlying root growth continues to affect the integrity of the footway.</p> <p>I can confirm officers will be in contact to arrange a site meeting to assess the concerns and consider the urgency of it.</p>
<p>3. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY</p> <p>Would the Cabinet Member, Councillor Leffman, agree that, as local member for Banbury Ruscote, we welcome the surface treatment works by M Group contractors on Warwick Road, with the caveat that the area of the traffic junction of the A422 Stratford Road to Warwick Road and the area on Warwick Road before Ferndale Road junction have not had surface treatments with some outstanding potholes still to be repaired.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>Thank you for your comments regarding the recent surface treatment works on Warwick Road, and for your support of the programme overall.</p> <p>I note the concerns you have raised in relation to the junction of the A422 Stratford Road with Warwick Road, and the section of Warwick Road approaching Ferndale Road, where defects remain following the completion of surface treatment works.</p> <p>Surface dressing is a preventative maintenance treatment designed to extend the life of the carriageway by sealing the surface and improving skid resistance, rather than addressing all underlying defects. In line with current policy, any significant defects</p>

<p>Would the Cabinet Member investigate when future roadworks are done, that all the work and defects are repaired along with resurfacing works? This then saves general disappointment from the public and complaints.</p>	<p>such as potholes should be identified and repaired either in advance of, or alongside, the treatment where they meet the Council's intervention criteria before surface dressing takes place.</p> <p>Defects, however close to other maintenance works, will only be repaired if they meet the Council's intervention criteria and these will be inspected either as part of our scheduled inspections, or due to enquires raised.</p> <p>This can sometimes give the impression that areas have been missed, particularly where there are isolated defects within an otherwise treated section.</p> <p>The locations you have identified have already been raised previously and recorded for further attention, and I will ensure that they are reviewed again so that any qualifying defects are progressed for repair.</p> <p>More broadly, your point regarding the coordination of works is well understood. The Council continues to work closely with its contractors to improve the alignment between defect repairs and planned maintenance schemes, but they are different treatments, however, some improvement can be made I'm sure, reducing the need for follow-up interventions.</p>
<p>4. COUNCILLOR TOM GREENAWAY</p> <p>Radley Road in Abingdon is a busy residential area, traffic through route and home of 3 schools. Because of this, the area experiences significant increases in vehicle traffic at drop off/pick up times, as well as bus/minibus traffic and an increase in car parking in the area surrounding the school. Parked vehicles obstruct the sightlines of pedestrians and other manoeuvring vehicles which</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>As part of the planned monitoring of the 20mph programme, officers will be contacting all town and parish councils which participated in the scheme (a summary of the process is included here: https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/transport-and-travel/traffic/20mph-scheme/20mph-transformation-programme to review current speeds and safety issues, and to also identify possible measures to improve compliance with the 20mph speed limit. This work is set to begin in July and to confirm that this will include the roads in Abingdon – including Radley Road, which are now 20mph.</p>

increases the risk of accidents. On top of this, we also hear reports from concerned residents and parents that drivers are consistently speeding past the schools and children. When Thames Valley Police were made aware of these concerns, they said:

“You’ll be aware that speeding is a partnership matter in which road design and layout is the most important component. Due to this and other influencing factors we do not enforce 20mph limits- Resourcing challenges, Anti-social behaviour in town centres, violence against women and girls, burglaries and robberies, with occasional time for engagement events means OCC must lead as the statutory responsible agency.”

While Oxfordshire County Council obviously have a part to play in road safety, speeding and dangerous driving are clearly matters for the police, and residents are right to want visible action to keep children safe. When Great Britain saw 19,972 pedestrian road casualties in 2025, and when this area has seen multiple road traffic collisions and many more near misses, how can Oxfordshire County Council support Thames Valley Police to police our roads and keep our communities safe?

I share your concerns about enforcement. Thames Valley Police takes a conscious choice not to enforce the law on speeding in the same way as other police forces. At my first Road Safety Partnership meeting and as the Council's representative on the Police & Crime Panel, I challenged this and made clear that residents and councillors would like to see much more speeding enforcement on roads such as Radley Road. I would also like to see a greater problem-solving approach to road safety on an issue-by-issue basis.

5. COUNCILLOR TOM GREENAWAY

Central Government have announced that all social media users will soon be required to provide proof of age such as a facial scan or credit card to continue using many popular platforms like Facebook, Instagram, or YouTube. These restrictions will extend to total bans for those under 16 years old, and nightly curfews for 16–17-year-olds, with currently little detail on how this will work.

Oxfordshire County Council frequently makes use of social media platforms to communicate with our residents. Examples of videos on our YouTube channel include ‘How to use a walking stick’, ‘Celebrating every child at school – reading, kindness and helping people!’, ‘Armed Forces Day – Flag Raising Ceremony’, an instructional video on how to contribute to a Council meeting, public safety videos from our Fire and Rescue Service, and a reminder that emergency contraception can be accessed free of charge at Oxfordshire pharmacies.

The information in these videos is clearly not harmful, and government attempts to restrict access to them through bans and digital ID will leave residents less informed and potentially in danger. How can Oxfordshire County Council ensure that we can communicate with residents

COUNCILLOR NEIL FAWCETT, DEPUTY LEADER OF THE COUNCIL AND CABINET MEMBER FOR RESOURCES

Communicating support and advice to residents is a core part of the council’s work. We don’t rely on social media alone for any community, but we use a wide mix of channels that we continue to strengthen.

For young people, this includes:

- **Trusted networks:** Young people respond to people they trust, so we work through parents and carers, schools, colleges and community groups. This is done in a variety of ways, which include email communications, updates for local newsletters, shareable blog content, leaflets and posters – all of which are unaffected by the social media ban. We provide the information in easy to share formats, making it simple for these key influencing groups to share onwards.
- **Frontline teams:** Council and partner teams (e.g. social care, family centres / hubs, district and city colleagues) are regularly and consistently in front of young people, including those who are more vulnerable. Ensuring they are equipped with the right information means they can then provide support and advice if needed.
- **Direct reach:** Where appropriate, we use posters, leaflets and public advertising. When used carefully and in the right place – for example at bus stops or outside colleges - this can be highly effective.
- **Peer networks:** We are planning to develop a network of young influencers, who will be able to share messages locally within their own communities. WhatsApp channels, widely used and unaffected by a ban, give us a direct and safe route to do this. This is early-stage, but has potential.

<p>throughout these new restrictions on social media access for the United Kingdom?</p>	
<p>6. COUNCILLOR TOM GREENAWAY</p> <p>Residents in Abingdon report long wait times at key pedestrian crossings, and I have often experienced these myself in Abingdon and across Oxfordshire. These waits extend journeys, can create long pavement queues at peak times of day, and cause frustration when there are very few or no passing vehicles to justify an extended red signal.</p> <p>Cities across the world have addressed this by improving pedestrian priority signalling at crossings. In London, Transport for London saved residents time, while improving traffic safety and signal compliance by trialling continuous green pedestrian signalling until an approaching vehicle is detected. In Bristol, signal wait times have been reduced and, in some circumstances removed following ‘Overwhelmingly positive’ feedback in an initial trial. In Vilnius, pedestrian priority traffic signalling reduced average wait times by around 30%.</p> <p>What assessments have Oxfordshire County Council made on pedestrian priority crossing signals to save residents time and improve pedestrian access across Oxfordshire?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>There are some 30 signalised junctions and controlled crossings in Abingdon. Individual sites vary in terms of how they adapt to changing traffic conditions and the level of priority given to vehicular traffic, cyclists and pedestrians at different times. Signal timings are reviewed when we receive reports from stakeholders, pick up issues ourselves, or when sites are refurbished. There isn’t a pro-active assessment programme that takes place.</p> <p>During busy peak times, the pedestrian crossings within Abingdon town centre are linked to the nearby signalised junctions (Ock St/Stratton Way and Stratton Way/Vineyard). To coordinate flow, each crossing runs on the same cycle time as the adjacent junction which is the reason why the maximum wait time for pedestrians is higher than usual. Outside of peak periods individual crossings are isolated and wait times reduce.</p> <p>As part of the County Council’s BSIP commitments Yunex Fusion is due to be rolled out in Oxfordshire market towns including Abingdon within the next 6-9 months. The new system can be configured to allow automatic priority changes based on certain metrics (delays/pollution/pedestrian wait times etc). Experience from elsewhere indicates that the introduction of Fusion (or similar advanced adaptive control systems) significantly improves the performance of junctions and traffic flow across extensive urban areas.</p> <p>The Council’s Traffic Signals team continues to respond constructively to requests made by local councillors and bus operators for additional bus priority and active travel measures. Site specific visits can be arranged to enhance understanding of the possible options for improvement, particularly where significant delays are</p>

	<p>caused to active travel users or buses. We will continue to investigate and implement improvements to signals operation in line with the principles detailed in OCC’s Network Management Plan:</p> <p>CA FEB2123R08 Annex 1 OCC draft Network Management Plan 2023-28.pdf”</p>
<p>7. COUNCILLOR ANDY GRAHAM</p> <p>How does the Cabinet Member intend to take forward the new library in Woodstock, which is long awaited and needed, after the Conservative administration closed it from its previous location and created a temporary location within the Oxfordshire Museum, which is totally inadequate?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR NEIL FAWCETT, DEPUTY LEADER OF THE COUNCIL AND CABINET MEMBER FOR RESOURCES</p> <p>We would love a new, permanent, larger library in Woodstock, but both resources and opportunities are thin on the ground at this point in time:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We hold limited S106 funds for Woodstock library, and are unlikely to receive significant additional funds in the near future. • OCC capital funding is stretched and needs to be prioritised. As such, investment over the next couple of years has been committed to major library redevelopments in Banbury and Didcot. • Sadly, there is no obvious available site in Woodstock to re-locate the library, and minimal scope at the current location to expand the operation; although this is something that we remain open to considering. • We have been engaged with WODC and Blenheim over the last couple of years on potential projects to develop a new library. Proposals have centred around the current fire station site and nearby car park; and the Thames Valley Police site. However, we have not been able to gain approval to take either project forward and neither project now appears viable. <p>Rest assured, we will continue to explore alternative options and re-engage with WODC and Blenheim to explore what might be possible.</p>

8. COUNCILLOR EMMA GARNETT

Freight trains have been running from Oxford to Milton Keynes on that stretch of East West Rail since late 2024 but we still have no passenger services [1].

This absence of a fast public transport link is severely holding back people in our county - and across the region – from getting around easily for work and leisure.

A provisional timetable has been released for December this year, but timetables have been released before with no trains forthcoming.

Will the Leader of the Council write to the Secretary of State for Transport urging the Labour government to find solutions to get passenger trains running on the line as soon as possible, as well as expressing support for a train guard on the services for passenger safety and accessibility?

[1]<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2026/may/02/east-west-rail-oxford-milton-keynes-chiltern-railways-delays>
<https://oxfordclarion.uk/the-08-44-to-milton-keynes-has-been-delayed-by-several-months/>

COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

I completely agree that this vital new link must open as soon as possible. Many along the line have made plans based on trains running from last year and the ongoing delay is having a real impact on working people. I will be happy to write to the Secretary of State.

<p>[2]https://questions-statements.parliament.uk/written-questions/detail/2026-05-20/HL338</p>	
<p>9. COUNCILLOR EMMA MARKHAM</p> <p>Please can the Cabinet Member explain why it took three months to fix seriously dangerous potholes on the A420, the main road between Swindon and Oxford? These potholes were reported on FixMyStreet at the end of Jan 2026, I submitted a Councillor Enquiry to Highways on the 9th Feb as they had not been fixed and were deteriorating further. No action was taken and so I wrote an open letter to the Cabinet Member and the Highways team on the 20th Feb, to which I did not receive a reply. I continued to submit Councillor Enquiries highlighting that the road was getting worse, on the 29th March 2026 I then contacted the leader of the Liberal Democrats to request help and that all of my emails were going unanswered and I was worried more people would be hurt on this dangerous road due to all the serious potholes, but my concerns were dismissed. Then in early April I tried requesting a Highways site visit and was told this was not possible. Cllr Thomas, the Councillor for the neighbouring division, was able to secure a site visit with the Cabinet Member and the Highways team and as this road was becoming</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>I am informed by officers that the defects on this section of the A420 were not isolated potholes but a series of closely spaced carriageway failures. Following initial reports, these were assessed and it was determined that repeated reactive repairs would not provide a durable or effective solution. The decision was therefore taken to group the defects and deliver them through a mini planer patching programme, which treats a larger section of carriageway and provides a significantly more robust and longer lasting repair. During this period, the route remained under review to ensure that any immediate safety risks were identified and addressed where necessary.</p> <p>I understand that this type of repair requires more planning than individual pothole repairs. In this case, it involved defining the extents of the failure, programming the works within an existing patching programme, and safely managing traffic on a heavily trafficked A road. While this approach resulted in a longer lead in time, it avoided repeated short term interventions and provided a more effective long term outcome for the network.</p> <p>I do accept that explaining and communicating this could and should have been better and this is something that as the new Cabinet Member for Highway Construction and repair I will be working with officers to improve.</p>

<p>a major concern, she made the team visit this location, this resulted in us obtaining 'failed road signs', the potholes were finally fixed on the 30th April. This is completely unacceptable and put residents' lives and safety at risk, these potholes resulted in multiple serious accidents during this period, including a head-on collision.</p> <p>Please can the Cabinet Member explain why it took three months to repair a major A road, which should be top priority and explain how road repairs prioritised by OCC? as I'm aware lots of other minor roads were being repaired during this period, so workmen and equipment were available to do this work and failed to prioritise and allocate resources appropriately. How will the Cabinet member for Highways ensure that the Highways team implements a more appropriate prioritisation system to ensure our major A roads remain safe and are repaired promptly?</p>	
<p>10. COUNCILLOR EMMA MARKHAM</p> <p>Please can the Cabinet Member explain how enforcement of double yellow lines are handled across the county. As I have been requesting enforcement for parking on double yellow lines opposite a junction in Watchfield, which is the site where a cyclist was killed previously, but no enforcement has occurred. I have submitted dozens of time-stamped photographs of cars parked on the double yellow lines using the online</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>Sorry to hear of your frustrations, increased parking enforcement is very much on my agenda to improve. Having enquired into this specific case, I am informed that there is technical issue with enforcement linked to the fact it is on former Ministry of Defence (MOD) land. Necessary action to try and rectify this is being looked into and an update will be provided once a view has been formed and appropriate identified.</p> <p>To report parking issues, we advise getting in touch with the department using the following link: Reporting an illegally parked vehicle Oxfordshire County Council</p>

<p>platform, and I have large numbers of residents pressuring me to have enforcement to improve safety at the junction. I have tried working with the highways team to find solutions, suggested that we could train local residents or members of the parish council to issue tickets if the issue is cost of enforcement officers, or use a system of civil enforcement where photos and dates and times can be submitted and a warning letter sent in the first instance, and then if they are caught again a ticket is issued.</p> <p>Please can you explain the enforcement policy and why some areas of Oxfordshire receive enforcement and other areas (which have been requesting it and submitting evidence of its need) do not, and please can you describe how you will make this fairer and more cost effective for all residents in the future while improving road safety?</p>	
<p>11. COUNCILLOR EMMA MARKHAM</p> <p>Can the Cabinet Member explain why we were promised that all drains in the county would be cleared on an annual schedule, and yet dozens of drains which are blocked with compact mud and have been submitted on FixMyStreet multiple times have still not been cleared, and yet we are told that there is an underspend in the drain clearing budget and that operations will be scaled back as it wasn't needed? Some of the drains I have been urgently</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>The Council did intend to visit and clear every gully in the county council in 2025/26, however, during delivery of that programme, some issues with stuck lids and parked cars were experienced, it also became clear that some locations were not simply blocked but had more complex underlying drainage issues, including silted systems, damaged pipework, and buried or inaccessible assets. Addressing these has required more extensive investigation and repair work beyond routine cleansing.</p> <p>As a result, additional £4m capital funding was made available to repair broken infrastructure, which will improve drainage where this has been the problem. and to I</p>

<p>requesting to be cleared have been blocked for multiple years and are located in villages which regularly experience flooding, and so it is vital they should be priorities for clearance. Can the Cabinet Member ensure these drains will be cleared this year?</p>	<p>can also confirm that any gullies that were not cleaned out as a result of the challenges our crews faced will be done this year.</p> <p>The wide-ranging inspection and clearance that we have undertaken has revealed that some of the gullies do not need to be cleared annually, while others do need regular attention as well as repair. A decision was therefore made to increase capital expenditure, and to reduce the additional gully emptying investment of £1.5m by £300k, with the focus being on an annual inspection and cleanse for the high-speed network along with locations that have a known high flooding risk, which is about 50% of the gully network, and the remaining lower risk 50% being inspected and cleaned at least once every 2 years. I can also confirm that adhoc blocked drain issues will still be dealt with on a case-by-case priority basis.</p>
<p>12. COUNCILLOR LIAM WALKER, LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION</p> <p>Can you confirm how much the Council has spent to date removing flags from lampposts and on the High Court legal process so far?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>The total cost of removing flags from council highway structures from September 2025 to May 2026 has been £79,790.21. The injunction has been granted and there is a further court review pending in July, therefore a costs figure relating to the High Court process is not yet available.</p>
<p>13. COUNCILLOR LIAM WALKER, LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION</p> <p>Overgrown trees on the A4095 and A40 from Minster Lovell to Eynsham are now blocking key road signs and junction safety information. Are there plans for the highways team to carry out works along these two keys roads to improve road safety and ensure road signs are visible?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>Thank you for your question and for raising this issue.</p> <p>The Tree Service will arrange for an inspection of the roads to determine the extent of any works required to enhance the visibility of signs along the A4095 and A40 from Minster Lovell to Eynsham within the next 2-3 weeks.</p> <p>Following the assessment, any recommended works will be undertaken.</p>

14. COUNCILLOR LIAM WALKER, LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION

The decision by the Lib Dem administration not to go ahead with the dual carriageway upgrades from Witney to Eynsham was a missed opportunity and a clumsy mistake. Can he share the latest traffic data, along with what year it was collected, that has recorded vehicle journeys to ascertain which routes drivers are taking when they travel eastbound on the A40?

COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT

The proposed A40 widening between (Hill Farm) east of Witney and Eynsham (west) to dual carriageway was initially agreed by Cabinet in [May 2016](#) following explorations of this from [July 2015](#).

Cabinet then sought to approve inclusion of this dual carriageway section, as one element of a wider A40 Strategy, into the Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF2) expression of interest in [September 2017](#).

The HIF2 Grant Determination Agreement was subsequently signed in [August 2020](#).

However, due to updated estimated costs exceeding the funding available, in [June 2022](#) [Cabinet](#) approved that the A40 HIF2 Smart Corridor Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) Revised Grant Determination Agreement was pursued. This prioritised funding for the bus priority, active travel and junction improvement elements of the HIF2 bid between Eynsham west and Oxford North.

The [Oxfordshire Local Transport and Connectivity Plan](#) was adopted by the council in July 2022 in which Policy 36 states “we will only consider road capacity schemes after all other options have been explored”.

Looking ahead, especially considering planned future growth in West Oxfordshire through the emerging Local Plan 2043 proposals, Officers are further developing the A40 Corridor Strategy by exploring strategic public transport opportunities in the form of an options appraisal for Mass Rapid Transit (MRT). This study is due to report later this year.

The approach to investigate MRT supports the objectives of the LTCP targets which seeks to replace or remove an additional 1 out of 3 car trips in Oxfordshire by 2040 succeeding the previous (LTP4) objective of replacing or removing 1 out of every 4

	<p>current car trips in Oxfordshire by 2030. The focus strategy to meet LTCP objectives by strengthening public transport is reflected in LTCP Policy 18 <i>to work in partnership to maintain a commercially sustainable and comprehensive network of services which is accessible to as many residents as possible and to seek to make the bus a natural first choice through development of infrastructure.</i></p> <p>Figures 1 to 10 below provide information on historical and more recent traffic data trends from OCC fixed monitoring locations.</p> <p>Total vehicle volumes along the A40 and main routes in west Oxfordshire increased rapidly through the 1970s and 1980s, rising more gradually until around 2005 and remained relatively stable since. (Figures 1 & 2) The flattening with little increase in total traffic over the last 20 years is largely a result of peak period capacity constraints for general traffic on these routes into Oxford.</p> <p>A more detailed picture of traffic patterns of the last 10 years for the A40 east of Witney and along the A4095 are provided in Figures 3 to 8. The graphs show a significant drop off in traffic through the period of the Covid pandemic.</p> <p>In terms of traffic routeing and destinations for eastbound vehicles moving along the A40 east of Witney, a major ANRP survey was undertaken in Feb 2020 prior to any traffic impacts through Covid. The results indicate around 65% of vehicles are making local trips, with approximately 55% destined for the wider Oxford area (See Table 1 and Figures 9 and 10). This aligns with the earlier modelled information from 2015. The implication being that the most effective means, and the appropriate policy compliant approach, to improve capacity for the A40 corridor is via public transport priority and bus service improvements, and active travel enhancements. This is the focus of the current infrastructure improvements along the A40.</p>
<p>15. COUNCILLOR SAJ MALIK</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p>

<p>How much compensation has the County Council paid in relation to damage from potholes between 1 November 2025 and the latest date available?</p>	<p>From the 1st November up to the 18th June we have made payments of £260,626.72 in respect of pothole related vehicle damage to claimants.</p> <p>NB Please note that the payment may relate to (will include) a claim in respect of an incident prior to 1 November 2025.</p> <p>We would usually look to complete a claim investigation within 4 months of the incident date however due the sheer volume of claims received in 2026 this has needed to be extended. The Team is currently working on claims received in the first week of February.</p>
<p>16. COUNCILLOR GEORGINA HERITAGE</p> <p>Residents in the rural villages of the Chinnor division desperately want to see improvements to transport, particularly to get to nearby towns and villages for health appointments, shopping, schools and other amenities. Many residents effectively feel cut off, unless they can drive and own a car. I understand a “Plan for Bus” is being developed. Please could you tell me how my rural residents might benefit from this, and how they will be able to input?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>The Council has been working to improve rural bus services across Oxfordshire, by reinstating services to all parishes that have populations of over 500. Within the Chinnor division we have reinstated links from Lewknor, South Weston, Postcombe and Towersey to Thame whilst maintaining the existing bus routes throughout the division.</p> <p>The OxBus 2040 Plan for Bus will set out our long-term vision for buses in Oxfordshire to 2040. It will be a high-level document and is still in the early formation stages.</p> <p>We are presenting this item to Place Overview and Scrutiny on Wednesday 24th June as an early-stage item so that the committee can help shape and influence the approach and emerging content. You can read more here: Agenda for Place Overview & Scrutiny Committee on Wednesday, 24 June 2026, 10.00 am Oxfordshire C...</p> <p>We know that connecting rural communities is important and so this will be factored into our ambitions.</p>

	<p>We are collecting views already from a range of different groups to feed into the plan and all residents will have the opportunity to respond to the public consultation planned for later this year.</p>
<p>17. COUNCILLOR IAN MIDDLETON</p> <p>In May 2025, the Council issued a statement confirming its commitment as part of the recently approved budget to “clean and empty every highway drainage gully in Oxfordshire this year, and every year going forward”.</p> <p>However, in 2026 a £300K reduction in the budget for gully clearance was announced along with reassurances that this would not impact the programme to clear every gully on an annual basis.</p> <p>Yet it has recently been confirmed that the gully clearance programme has now been amended so that only areas that have been subject to an S19 flooding report will receive annual clearances, whereas other areas will now be reduced to a two-yearly clearance cycle.</p> <p>This seems to be a u-turn on our previous commitment to annual gully clearances and a return to a targeted clearance regime which previously resulted in a widespread issues of</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>The reduction, as part of the 2026/27 budget setting process, was proposed following the data and intel obtained from the 2025/2025 activity. Due to silt levels in some of the gullies and the need to fix the wider network to make the gully emptying worthwhile, a decision was made to reduce the gully emptying activity by £300k. I am not aware of any reassurances made as part of that decision regarding continuing to empty every gully each year.</p> <p>A commitment to invest in the drainage network to make improvements remains, and well as the additional investment in gully emptying, accepting a 300k reduction in the original £1.5m additional investment, a capital investment of £4m to fix and improve the network itself was approved.</p> <p>The regime, as a result of this decision, will be an annual inspection and cleanse for the high-speed network along with locations that have a known high flooding risk, which is about 50% of the gully network, with the remaining 50% being lower risk that will be inspected and cleaned once every 2 years. I can also confirm that adhoc blocked drain issues will still be dealt with on a case-by-case priority basis.</p>

<p>blocked gulleys and serious flooding in many areas, including in my division.</p> <p>Why was the change made to our previous commitment for a full county-wide annual clearance and has a full impact assessment been carried out on the flooding risks for areas that have now been downgraded?</p>	
<p>18. COUNCILLOR IAN MIDDLETON</p> <p>The Council (OCC) has agreed to lease public land in my division to Oxford United Football Club for the development of a new stadium and a hotel. However, the council has refused to make public the ground rent they have agreed with OUFC for the use of the land.</p> <p>An FOI request was made by a local campaigning group which resulted in a further refusal by OCC to divulge the rental figure even though a subsequent appeal to the Information Commissioners Office directed that there was no justification for withholding the information.</p> <p>The Council subsequently appealed the decision, and the appeal was upheld on the grounds of commercial confidentiality.</p> <p>Given that OUFC are the exclusive lessee in this matter and the land involved is held in trust for the</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR DAN LEVY, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PROPERTY AND TRANSFORMATION</p> <p>The Council wants people who it negotiates with to be confident the Council do as much as possible to ensure that sensitive information remains confidential despite the Council being subject to Freedom of Information legislation. The case should reassure people that the Council will be robust when presented with FOI requests.</p> <p>The counterparties to this transaction have not consented to the release of the confidential information included in the contract.</p> <p>There is of course a tension between the council as a public body and the rights of those it contracts with in terms of data release.</p> <p>The council has complied with the law relating to such matters.</p> <p>In any event, in any transaction, price terms tend normally only to be revealed once a land transaction has completed (via Land Registry records) and not before.</p>

<p>people of Oxfordshire by OCC, what possible commercial disadvantage could there be to either OCC or OUFC in being open and transparent about the agreed rental of this land and why has OCC fought so hard to keep that figure secret from the people of Oxfordshire?</p>	
<p>19. COUNCILLOR IAN MIDDLETON</p> <p>The recent closure of the North Oxford Golf Course in my division and the subsequent announcement of the impending development of the site has been met with dismay by former members of the club.</p> <p>The announcement of an impending planning application for a massive data centre on land near Frieze Way which was intended as a replacement golf course, as outlined in the planning inspectors report on the CDC Local Plan Partial Review, is predicated on a claim that there is no need for golfing provision in the area.</p> <p>Would the Cabinet member agree with me that this flies in the face of the clear evidence of the popularity of the North Oxfordshire Course which proves that golf is indeed an important local recreation activity open to all, and that this sad loss of golfing provision needs to be mitigated in the same local area in line with the recommendations</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LAURA GORDON, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND ECONOMY</p> <p>This is a live planning matter being considered by Cherwell District Council, with the County Council acting as a statutory consultee. It would therefore be inappropriate to comment on the merits of the proposal, including questions of need, policy compliance, or mitigation, outside of that formal process.</p> <p>All relevant planning policy considerations, including the provision of recreational facilities and any mitigation requirements, will need to be assessed by the determining authority as part of the application.</p> <p>Residents and stakeholders are strongly encouraged to engage directly with Cherwell District Council through the consultation process to ensure their views are properly considered.</p>

<p>of the planning inspector and the commitments made as part of the local plan partial review?</p>	
<p>20. COUNCILLOR THOMAS ASHBY</p> <p>What measures are being taken to make the Council more ready to address potholes this winter than it was last winter?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>The Council has taken a number of practical steps to ensure that it is better prepared to respond to potholes this coming winter than it was last year, reflecting both the experience of the past winter and the lessons learned from the significant increase in defects caused by the winter weather conditions.</p> <p>Firstly, we have strengthened our operational resilience and capacity. During the peak of last winter, additional crews were mobilised to respond to the surge in defects, increasing from 7 to 26 at the height of demand. Building on this experience, we are planning resources earlier and ensuring the ability to scale up quickly again if required, including access to additional crews and specialist equipment.</p> <p>Secondly, we are continuing to invest in and expand the use of more efficient and durable repair techniques. This includes wider use of specialist methods such as thermal patching, mechanised patching crews, and “Dragon” patching. In parallel, you may have seen that starting 10 days ago, we are undertaking a formal pothole repair trial on Wildmere Road in Banbury to identify the most effective and long-lasting approaches, with a structured lessons learned review feeding directly into our winter preparation. I'm pleased to say that a number of our councillors attended the open day on June 17th and I hope you were among them.</p> <p>Thirdly, we are increasing the focus on preventative maintenance, which is key to reducing the number of potholes forming in the first place. This includes large-scale surface dressing and resurfacing programmes, as well as targeted programmes to address areas of failed surfacing across the network. This preventative approach is</p>

	<p>designed to extend the life of the carriageway and reduce the reliance on reactive repairs over time.</p> <p>In addition, we are enhancing our drainage and flood resilience programmes, recognising that water ingress is a major contributor to pothole formation. The Council has invested significantly in gully cleansing and will increasingly use flood risk and asset data to better target high-risk areas. We are also investing £2million in replacing broken gullies, which will improve drainage. Improving drainage helps prevent deterioration during freeze–thaw conditions, which were a key factor in last winter’s damage.</p>
<p>21. COUNCILLOR THOMAS ASHBY</p> <p>The Council has over £270million S106 sat in its account. Please detail the precise plans for Witney, including projects and time periods for the funding to be spent in Witney.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR DAN LEVY, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PROPERTY AND TRANSFORMATION</p> <p>This information is available in the dashboard made available to members where members are able to filter by service area, locality, division and parish.</p> <p>To assist with the details, for the Witney Parish area across All Service areas £2.44m is held. Extracting the Dashboard into this answer would present a considerable amount of information so I will write separately to Cllr Ashby with the relevant extract.</p>
<p>22. COUNCILLOR THOMAS ASHBY</p> <p>The Movement and Place Plan for West Oxfordshire does have some interesting aspects to it. However, a major concern is the suggestion that the County Council is looking to remove parking spaces and free parking in West Oxfordshire. Can the Cabinet Member confirm if this is the case, and can they ensure that this aspect of the MAPP is removed immediately?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>The public consultation for the West Oxfordshire Lowlands Movement and Place Plan (MAP Plan) closed on 2nd June. During the consultation period, officers held a number of drop-in sessions allowing members of the public to hear from officers and find out more about the MAP Plan. Officers are now reviewing the public consultation feedback and will be presenting an updated version to members. It is the intention to firstly present to the Place Overview and Scrutiny (POS) Committee in September and then cabinet in October.</p>

	<p>Cllr Ashby will know that the bulk of parking in Witney and Carterton is off-street and run by West Oxfordshire District Council. In spite of fictional claims that charges would be introduced, the council administration has been clear since it took charge in 2022 that those car parks remain free. I see no evidence that there would be any benefit in charging for on-street parking in either town in light of this.</p> <p>I and Officers are aware of the feedback surrounding parking, and will be looking at this, along with all of the consultation findings to provide a revised version of the MAP Plan before presenting it to POS or cabinet later this year. This is the process we have followed for all of the recently adopted MAP Plans – Science Vale and Bicester and the Surrounding Villages. Those suggesting “plans” – be it on car parking or for that matter quiet lane proposals around Curbridge – have generally been proven to be silly and wrong.</p> <p>Furthermore, officers have updated local members at the recent locality meeting in June and Witney’s Traffic Action Committee, where members were informed of the revised timetable and explanation for this.</p>
<p>23. COUNCILLOR JAMES BARLOW</p> <p>The latest in a long and growing line of vulnerable residents to be hurt by the terribly maintained pavements in Wallingford’s Market Place, St Mary’s and St Martin’s Streets was a 91-year-old gentleman. He reportedly tripped, landed face-first, was taken to hospital then to his dentist with broken teeth, in rather a bloody mess. Since last year I have persistently asked for OCC investment in central Wallingford’s pavements to save injury and subsequent healthcare and legal defence costs. It is in society’s interest for the elderly &</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>I am very sorry to hear of this and the other incidents. Officers have confirmed they are aware of the concerns regarding footway condition in central Wallingford, including Market Place, St Mary’s Street and St Martin’s Street, and these locations are actively being reviewed by officers.</p> <p>As with all highway assets across Oxfordshire, maintenance is managed through a risk-based approach. This means that the most serious safety defects are prioritised for repair first, with wider improvements delivered through the capital maintenance programme where funding allows. I will reinforce the importance of pavement condition to officers in enabling those less mobile to move around safely.</p>

<p>vulnerable to be out and about. Please can you let me know when the centre of Wallingford's pavements will be made safe for residents and visitors alike?</p>	<p>The Council continues to invest significant resources into maintaining the highway network, including footways, although national funding levels remain insufficient to address the full backlog of maintenance need across the county.</p> <p>In terms of next steps for Wallingford:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Officers are currently reviewing the condition of the affected footways to ensure that any defects meeting safety intervention criteria are addressed as a priority. • Any defects that are present but not considered a safety issue will be assessed alongside other priorities across the county and considered for inclusion in upcoming capital investment programmes, subject to available funding and overall prioritisation. • Locations in central Wallingford have been highlighted through member and resident feedback as part of the development of future programmes. <p>Please do continue to report on FixMyStreet so that they can be assessed and addressed in accordance with our safety policies.</p> <p>While I cannot at this stage confirm a specific delivery date for wider reconstruction works in the town centre, I can assure you that the concerns raised are recognised and are being actively considered as part of both ongoing safety inspections and future programme planning.</p>
<p>24. COUNCILLOR JAMES BARLOW</p> <p>In response to highways officers requesting feedback on the emerging forward programme for the 2027/28 highway maintenance year, many residents in the Wallingford & Brightwell-cum-Sotwell division have reported dangers relating to</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR</p> <p>The County Council fully recognises the importance of supporting active travel and the need to prioritise the safety of more vulnerable road users, including pedestrians and cyclists. This is reflected in our wider transport policies and the Local Transport and Connectivity Plan, which promotes a clear hierarchy of users.</p>

cycling and walking and asked for active travel to be made safer. Only this week I learnt of another teenager getting hurt falling off their bike whilst cycling to school due to the poor state of the residential, low traffic, highway. Residents shouldn't need to have full-suspension mountain bikes, and equivalent skills, to take the active travel, climate- & future-generation choice. Please can you confirm to us all that the 2027/28 highway maintenance programme will prioritise spend in line with the transport hierarchy that identifies pedestrians and then cyclists as the vulnerable?

In developing the highway maintenance programme, including the 2027/28 forward programme, we take a data-led and risk-based approach. This ensures that:

- Safety defects across both carriageways and footways are identified and addressed in line with our intervention criteria;
- Locations with a higher risk to vulnerable users are given appropriate consideration; and
- Member and public feedback is incorporated into the prioritisation process.

The feedback received from Wallingford residents, including concerns about cycling conditions and footway quality, has been captured as part of the programme development process and will be considered alongside other priorities across the county.

However, it is important to be clear that the maintenance programme must balance a wide range of competing demands across Oxfordshire's extensive highway network, within the funding available. While current investment enables us to keep the network safe and address the most serious issues, it is not sufficient to address all underlying condition concerns at once.

In summary, the programme is aligned with the objective of supporting safer conditions for pedestrians and cyclists, but prioritisation is undertaken using a combination of safety risk, asset condition, and available funding rather than a single factor alone.

I appreciate the strength of local feeling on this issue and can assure you that the concerns raised will be carefully considered as the 2027/28 programme is developed and finalised

25. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON

Could the Leader explain the thought process and reason leading to the decision by Oxfordshire Leaders to withdraw support for a shadow Foundation Strategic Authority?

This would lead to a ‘Thames Valley Mayoral Strategic Authority’, which could have added a gross added value of £97.3 billion to the area’s economy, based on the M40 and M4 corridor.

The previous letter to ministers from council leaders stated, “the economic case is made” for devolution”. This proposal is also backed by business leaders across the Thames Valley.

Does he also agree that this u-turn has put jobs, investment and economic growth at risk?

COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

Thank you for the question.

Leaders across the Thames Valley remain strongly committed to the principle of devolution and to securing greater powers, funding and local decision-making for our area.

However, the decision not to proceed at this time with a shadow Foundation Strategic Authority reflects the specific nature of the Government’s current offer, rather than any loss of ambition for the region.

Leaders were originally invited to develop proposals for a Mayoral Strategic Authority, which would provide significant powers, long-term investment and clear democratic accountability. The proposal subsequently presented by Government—namely a Foundation Strategic Authority—offered reduced powers, resources and benefits, alongside a geography that was not locally determined.

In considering this offer, I have also had regard to the scale and economic contribution of the Thames Valley, which is recognised as significant at a national level, and whether the Foundation Strategic Authority model proposed would provide a proportionate framework to support that potential.

In addition, the Government indicated a contribution of £250,000 towards the establishment of a Foundation Strategic Authority. Evidence from other areas suggests that the ongoing cost of operating such an authority is materially higher, in the region of £1 million per annum at its base level. This represents an ongoing financial commitment that would fall to local partners and has therefore been an important consideration in assessing the proposal.

I also believe that it will be important to consider the outcome of the Spatial Development Strategy work and the extent to which this may inform future

	<p>geography and partnership arrangements, including igniting engagement with Buckinghamshire.</p> <p>Given these factors, and recognising that Government expects such arrangements to be based on clear local consensus, I and other Leaders were unable to reach agreement across all participating authorities on proceeding with the devolution offer at this stage.</p> <p>It is therefore important to be clear that this is not a rejection of devolution. Rather, it is a considered position that the current offer does not provide the scale of opportunity to fully realise the economic potential of the Thames Valley.</p> <p>I remain willing to engage constructively with Government and continue to advocate for a model of devolution that reflects our economic geography, commands local support, and supports sustainable growth and investment for our communities.</p>
<p>26. COUNCILLOR SAJ MALIK</p> <p>Local businesses have been raising their concerns over the last few years about this Council's anti-car policies which harm local independent businesses and now Oxford colleges have raised the same concerns that the council's anti-car policies will harm the city.</p> <p>Will this administration now take any notice and review their anti-car policies?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Our policies are not anti-car; they support and promote enabling a range of ways to move around and access services and facilities that residents need and want to.</p> <p>Most people want the same thing: journeys that are quicker, better connected, and more reliable, regardless of whether they travel on foot, bicycle, bus or private car. Most people travel around the city by non-car modes including 90% accessing the city centre. For those that need to drive, there are a range of permits to do this in less congested conditions. If we all drive a little bit less, we will all move a lot faster.</p> <p>Doing nothing about Oxford's chronic congestion has never been an option. That's why we have pioneered solutions such as the country's first park and ride, and the only major congestion charge scheme outside of London.</p>

Since the introduction of the temporary congestion charge, bus operators have been able to introduce new services, and the scheme has been supporting free park and ride bus travel from day one.

As a result, Go Ahead is now seeing a 12 per cent growth in bus patronage in Oxfordshire (April –mid-June); around 20,000 more passengers per week.

Our schemes are monitored and evaluated, and data is published for everyone to see. In our recent business survey, nearly 80 per cent of respondents said that compared to a year ago, their performance is the same or better.

City centre weekend daytime footfall figures – a good indicator of shopping and leisure activity in the city – show no signs of decline; we’re seeing a slight increase from four years ago.

Period	Total pedestrians counted in Queen Street, Oxford between 10am and 4pm on Saturdays and Sundays
November 2022 - May 2023	1,248,912.00
November 2025 - May 2026	1,263,284.00

Nationally there has been a [decline in](#) footfall Britain’s towns and cities.

	<p>Separating out wider economic influences from local traffic measures is not always easy when discussing the reasons why businesses are experiencing upswings and downswings.</p> <p>However, reducing congestion and creating the conditions for business to thrive are not mutually exclusive concepts. I'll be talking to businesses, listening and learning as we continue to develop transport solutions to maximise Oxford's potential .</p>
<p>27. COUNCILLOR SAJ MALIK</p> <p>The County Council's Active Travel Policy clearly encourages people to walk or ride a bicycle. Do you agree that cyclists and pedestrians' safety is paramount?</p> <p>Why has it taken more than three months to repaint the pelican crossing in my Cowley division, by Marsh Park, which is a very busy crossing for pedestrians and cyclists using this route for the local school and to the city centre via Donnington?</p> <p>Is this Council's policy to be full of slogans and empty promises and failing to protect cyclists and pedestrians in reality?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>I have had it confirmed that officers are aware of the refreshment need and it is planned for inclusion in the first batch of the 2026/27 lining programme.</p> <p>When it was assessed, whilst it was agreed relining was required, officers did not seem it as meeting the criteria to be treated as emergency works.</p> <p>To confirm, officers will continue to monitor the condition of the crossing markings, and if before the planned works are due, it is considered they have degraded to a point where it needs to be considered for an emergency/immediate repair, then this will be done. Much as we would like to bring forward works more quickly, we are working in the context of over £24 million of Government cuts to Oxfordshire's budget.</p>
<p>28. COUNCILLOR IAN SNOWDON</p> <p>Following repeated requests from me as the local County Councillor, senior highways officers have confirmed there are no plans to trial removal of the traffic signals at the Orchard Centre junction in</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Whilst traffic flow may have improved as a result of the signals being out of operation, there are concerns for vehicular safety (in particular right turn out of the orchard centre) and importantly pedestrian accessibility which means progressing with a trial is not considered appropriate.</p>

Didcot or investigate alternative junction arrangements, despite a recent period when the signals were out of operation following damage to the power cabinet, during which residents reported noticeably improved traffic flow and reduced congestion.

Given that the Orchard Centre junction was designed and consented prior to substantial housing growth in Didcot and while major transport schemes intended to mitigate traffic impacts — including HIF1, NPR3 and the Didcot Central Corridor — remain delayed or undelivered, does the Cabinet Member agree that decisions on future operation of this junction should be based on current traffic conditions and observed network performance rather than historic modelling and assumptions about future infrastructure, and will he meet me on site with officers to review the evidence?

- **Safety:** Due to the volume of traffic on Hitchcock Way and the speed of cars approaching, signalisation is required to ensure that the junction operates as efficiently as possible and to avoid drivers making risky turning movements across traffic into and out of the Orchard Centre. This is supported by Oxfordshire's LTCP and Vision Zero which include a target to have zero, or as close as possible, road fatalities or serious injuries by 2050.
- **Safety and accessibility for cyclists and pedestrians trying to cross the road (including vulnerable road users):** Due to the speed and volume of traffic and the wide road present, anyone crossing that road would require signalisation to facilitate their active travel movements. This supports walking and cycling policies (policies 1 – 6) within Oxfordshire's LTCP which focus on active travel priority and aim to provide safe and attractive routes for pedestrians and cyclists.
- **Strategy:** The overarching transport strategy for the area includes schemes such as HIF1 and NPR3 which aim to reduce traffic in the centre of Didcot by encouraging people travelling through Didcot to use the A4130 instead. This will have an impact on traffic levels and congestion on Hitchcock Way. In addition, specific amendments to the Hitchcock Way / Orchard Centre junction are not included within the Science Vale Movement and Place Plan (Science Vale MAPP) which brings together all the projects in the area requiring our attention and investment and directs us to which projects to prioritise in the future. Changes to the traffic signals at this junction are also not included in the approved Didcot Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP).

I can confirm that there is work underway to help to improve traffic flows on Hitchcock Way. to investigate the potential for changes to the signal timings to make them more efficient at this junction and to optimise traffic flows. We have also had

	<p>discussions with the Orchard Centre Management Company and will continue to work with them on their car park design and function to ensure that queuing caused by their business is catered for within their car park rather than on the highway network.</p> <p>In addition, the County Council's Travel Plans Team are working to address the travel behaviour of people travelling to the Orchard Centre to investigate whether car use to the site can be reduced. This will help to ensure that as many journeys as possible are made by sustainable modes, rather than single occupancy car use, which will also help to reduce congestion on Hitchcock Way. This is supported by LTCP policy 11 which states that OCC will work with employers and businesses in the county to improve promotion and education of travel choices.</p> <p>I have been invited by Cllr Worgan to visit Didcot to look at issues raised regarding pedestrian and cycle access between Ladygrove to the centre of Didcot and would also be happy to meet Cllr Snowdon and others representing Didcot whose residents would be directly affected.</p>
<p>29. COUNCILLOR IAN SNOWDON</p> <p>The administration has repeatedly argued that the long-term economic and environmental benefits of its transport and growth policies outweigh short-term disruption and delay.</p> <p>However, Oxfordshire County Council has previously had to withdraw business footfall analysis after concerns about the reliability of HUQ data that had been used publicly to support economic claims.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LAURA GORDON, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND ECONOMY</p> <p>Housing and employment growth is generally planned alongside infrastructure, for example in Local Plans and Local Transport Plans. Some 'growth' is directly linked to infrastructure using requirements within planning permissions.</p> <p>Where possible, we seek to work proactively in advance of developments coming forward to ensure necessary infrastructure is in place. However, it is sometimes possible that either the 'growth' and/or the infrastructure does not come forward as originally intended, which is often linked to planning permissions and funding.</p> <p>If Councillor Snowdon has a specific example, officers would be happy to discuss further.</p>

<p>Can the Cabinet Member explain what reliable measures the council now uses to assess the economic impact of delayed infrastructure delivery and transport disruption across Oxfordshire, and whether the administration has quantified those costs to local businesses and local economies?</p> <p>In my own division, residents and businesses are still waiting for three major highway infrastructure improvements that have been delayed over many years despite significant housing and employment growth proceeding in the meantime.</p> <p>How does the Cabinet Member justify continuing to support growth where the enabling infrastructure remains incomplete?</p>	
<p>30. WITHDRAWN</p>	
<p>31. COUNCILLOR LEE EVANS</p> <p>In recent months, we have tragically seen a fatality on the A420 in my division. I am sorry to say that this came as little surprise to me and many of my residents because, as I have previously highlighted in this chamber, we have grave concerns about the safety of junctions along the A420. Will the Cabinet Member commit to working with me on a comprehensive study of traffic volumes and junction safety (for drivers, cyclists and pedestrians) on the A420 at Littleworth, Buckland,</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Vision Zero schemes on the A420 include the recent speed limit reductions on the Faringdon and Shrivenham bypasses and at the Tubney Woods dual carriageway, together with a junction improvement at the Pinewood Road / Charney Bassett Road staggered junction programmed to be carried out in September 2026; the planned A and B road speed limit review will also consider further changes put forward as part of the forthcoming stakeholder consultation.</p> <p>Additionally, the VZ team will continue to closely monitor safety on the network including this part of the A420 to identify schemes for future programmes.</p>

<p>Hinton Waldrist, Pusey, Longworth, and Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor, and to publishing the findings and recommendations in the next six months? Thank you.</p>	<p>The assistance of Thames Valley Police in pursuing average speed enforcement would also help.</p> <p>Maintenance needs at the A420 junctions continue to be reviewed as part of our day-to day operational role across the network.</p> <p>The place planning team also have an A420 Corridor Study on their forward plan, however the exact scope of this has not yet been defined and there is currently no capacity or funding to conduct these works, in the context of the cuts of over £24 million imposed by the Government.</p>
<p>32. COUNCILLOR LEE EVANS</p> <p>Several residents have contacted me regarding the length of time it is taking to process Blue Badge applications. In one case, following a major medical incident, a family invested a significant amount of money in a new vehicle for a newly disabled relative, only to face a lengthy wait for the Blue Badge application to be processed. To help us understand the scale of delays, can the Cabinet Member tell me: the average length of time between receipt of an application and a decision being made on a Blue Badge application, broken down by quarter for each of the past three years; whether the Council has undertaken any formal review of the Blue Badge application process in recent years, with a view to simplifying the process and/or reducing processing times; and if so what actions and improvements have followed? Thank you</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR DAN LEVY, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PROPERTY AND TRANSFORMATION</p> <p>I have attached data showing the volume of blue badge applications over the last three years. As you will see, we had a significant spike in referrals from the start of pre-registration for the congestion charge which lasted until April. Residents have applied for Blue Badges to gain an exemption from paying the Congestion Charge, and the increased sustained volume in not just applications but emails and telephone calls extended processing times. Alongside the improvement work already in place, we are working closely with IT to look at how automaton can support in speeding up processing times.</p> <p>We are continuing to make improvements to the process and are now turning applications round within two weeks. Without any specific identifiers I would not be able to comment on individual cases but if these can be provided, I will look into it.</p> <p><i>*See Appendix 1 below for further information</i></p>

<p>33. COUNCILLOR LEE EVANS</p> <p>Recent Cabinet papers showed that, against planned capital investment of £343.6 million in 2025/26, the Council's actual expenditure was just £231.3 million. This funding is intended, among other things, to deliver major infrastructure projects, maintain our highways assets, and provide additional pupil places. Usually, I might welcome an underspend as representing savings or better value for money, but this is instead a story of money not being invested in our communities at the rate that the Council leadership has promised. And I am, in fact, concerned that delays to capital expenditure could increase the eventual cost of projects. What assessment has the Cabinet Member made of additional costs incurred by the Council's capital programme over the past three years as a result of delays to planned expenditure?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR DAN LEVY, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PROPERTY AND TRANSFORMATION</p> <p>The Council recognises that delays and rephasing within the capital programme can increase exposure to cost pressures, particularly in relation to construction inflation, materials costs, supply chain pressures and the costs associated with maintaining project teams over longer delivery periods.</p> <p>However, the Council does not currently hold evidence that the programme as a whole has become financially undeliverable as a result of delayed expenditure. The capital programme continues to be managed within its approved funding envelope, with pressures monitored through established governance and escalated for decision where required.</p> <p>It is also important to distinguish between in-year financial slippage and project delay. Some of the difference between planned and actual expenditure reflects the timing of payments moving between financial years, rather than schemes stopping or failing to progress. Many schemes continue to move forward, including some that remain on site or within approved budgets, even where spend has been reprofiled.</p> <p>That said, the Council accepts that extended delivery timeframes can increase the likelihood of additional costs. Recent Cabinet approvals have included targeted budget increases, including £1.4m for A34 Lodge Hill, £1.8m for Access to Witney, and £2.5m for A4130 Steventon Lights. There have also been significant increases over recent years on larger schemes, including HIF1 and additional budget provision for Watlington Relief Road through the budget-setting process.</p>

	<p>These pressures are assessed case by case as part of ongoing programme monitoring, budget management and governance reporting. Where tender prices exceed expectations, or where scope and affordability need to be revisited, schemes may be paused, refined, de-risked or re-scoped before progressing. This is intended to protect value for money and ensure that decisions are based on realistic cost and delivery assumptions.</p> <p>The Council is also taking further action to improve delivery certainty and reduce future exposure to cost escalation. This includes strengthening programme-level reporting, improving visibility of scheme progress beyond in-year spend, reviewing scope and affordability earlier in the project lifecycle, and continuing to embed stronger project and programme management disciplines across the capital portfolio.</p>
<p>34. COUNCILLOR JAMES BARLOW</p> <p>The development of Wallingford's LCWIP is very welcome. I and residents thank officers for their work on this, and the Cabinet Member for Transport Management for signing it off in April. As we all know, for an LCWIP to make a difference on the ground today, to mitigate and adapt to climate change for current and future generations, and practically support the social inequity issue of active travel, these plans need funding. How much funding is there in the 2026-7 budget for putting in place the LCWIP priorities in Wallingford, and which measures will be prioritised, and how?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>The development of Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans (LCWIPs) are undertaken to identify key routes within settlements, improvements to help increase their level of use, and assist with funding opportunities to deliver them. Oxfordshire County Council has completed LCWIPs for 14 different parts of the county, with five more planned. Part of this includes a prioritisation task, which seeks to order the identified improvements to aid with prioritising funding as and when it becomes available. All these improvements have been put together, having been assessed against the same prioritisation criteria, and are consolidated into one list which will be used for countywide funding opportunities, such as OCC capital funding or Active Travel England funding. Ongoing monitoring of the list means that when other opportunities arise, the schemes on the list are up to date with their delivery status. This is to say that, for example, should CIL become available locally in South Oxfordshire, the South Oxfordshire LCWIPs will be pooled for CIL where there aren't other means of funding the works. Additionally, when opportunities arise with housing or employment developments, Section 278 agreements can be made for</p>

	<p>any such development to directly deliver any LCWIP improvement within the vicinity of the site, and/or collect S.106 contributions as means for progressing works.</p> <p>The LCWIP list of improvements is for all highway and transport teams to utilise when developing and identifying programmes so there will be many opportunities for the delivery of works. This may include the resurfacing of roads to include cycle symbols to raise awareness of the presence of people cycling, or routine maintenance of footway repairs. This may also be through the vision zero or active travel programmes. Or if the Town Council have the means to do so, they are able to deliver on improvements identified, too. Officers are always on the lookout for these chances to develop and deliver the schemes, however, due to the nature of funding opportunities and the LCWIPs, it is not practical to set out a clear LCWIP scheme/works prioritisation framework due to the varied nature of the funding opportunities.</p> <p>The imposition of over £24 million of Labour Government cuts is bound to impact service delivery, but I will commit to minimising its impact on this important work.</p>
<p>35. COUNCILLOR JAMES PLUMB</p> <p>Back in March, the previous Cabinet Member advised that the Windrush Valley Freight Study would be completed in the Spring, and that work on the Cumnor & Farmoor Freight Study, covering the B4017, B4044 and B4449, would progress before the Summer. We are now in late June and there has been no further update to members or to parish stakeholders. Could the Cabinet Member provide an update on the current position of the Windrush Valley Freight Study, including whether the final report has been completed and when it will be published, and set out a clear timetable for progressing the Cumnor & Farmoor Freight Study?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Thank you for your query regarding the draft freight study covering the B4017, B4044 and B4449. This scheme has been treated as interdependent with the work on the Windrush Valley Freight Study, which has taken longer to progress than anticipated.</p> <p>As reported in March, it was anticipated that Officers would be able to allocate resource back to the B4017, B4044 and B4449 before the Summer, which hasn't been possible due to further work being required on the Windrush Valley study. I've offered to meet local County Councillors representing these areas to try and expedite progress.</p> <p>On a more positive note, Officer work is now concluding on reviewing the proposal from lobby group WIVTAG for a large Area Weight Restriction for the Windrush Valley area both north and south of the A40, extending into Gloucestershire, and I</p>

<p>In addition, it would be helpful to understand what steps are being taken in the interim to address the ongoing concerns raised by affected parishes regarding freight traffic on the B4017, B4044 and B4449.</p>	<p>am due to be briefed on this and will then carefully consider the way forward. Officers have included in that report that the WIVTAG proposal doesn't meet the objectives of the parishes on the B4449, B4044 and B4017 as heavy vehicles would still be able to route to Blackditch via this route.</p> <p>In addition, I'm aware Highways Operations officers are making progress with the Parish of Stanton Harcourt on the traffic calming features.</p> <p>In terms of taking forward the traffic surveys, Officers are progressing an internal business case to release held S106 funding to survey the Blackditch junction to provide greater evidence as to how heavy vehicles are routing.</p>
<p>36. COUNCILLOR BETHIA THOMAS</p> <p>There has been some speculation about the roll-out of the new quiet lanes scheme over the last month. When I first heard about the scheme some time ago, I considered all the parishes in my division and any road that I thought might be suitable for this scheme, where residents have already flagged traffic problems. I could only think of one possibility and did raise this with the parish, in this example, Buscot Wick in Buscot Parish, though I believe they have not pursued it because they thought it would not be suitable for that settlement.</p> <p>Recently, in Faringdon, one member of the town council was concerned that a quiet lane was being foisted upon Faringdon town centre, I explained to him that this was not the case, but concerns arose and I would like to reassure all town and parish</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GARETH EPPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT</p> <p>Quiet lanes form part of the county council's commitment to making roads safer and encouraging sustainable travel. By removing through traffic, quiet lanes can reduce vehicle numbers and speeds, lower the risk and severity of collisions, and make minor roads feel calmer and safer.</p> <p>New quiet lanes will only be taken forward where there is an explicit request and demonstrated support from the parish or town council and county council elected member. If a site is not supported and does not meet the criteria published in the guidance it will not go ahead. I can confirm that we have not received an application for a quiet lane in either Buscot Wick or Faringdon town centre but would welcome the opportunity to work with parish and town councils, and local members, should either area decide to apply to the pilot programme.</p> <p>We plan to pilot up to 10 quiet lanes as part of our new programme and are working with parish councils and elected county councillors to identify sites and work out if they are feasible to deliver. Not all requests will be successful.</p>

<p>members within my division that the implementation of quiet lanes would be entirely within their gift.</p> <p>Given the controversy that seems to have arisen around the scheme can the Cabinet Member set out the scheme, clarifying that Faringdon town centre will not become a quiet lane unless it was the express wish of the town council?</p>	<p>Before any sites are trialled, we expect to see dialogue with residents and other stakeholders, including businesses such as farms, to understand potential impacts and help to decide whether to continue developing an individual quiet lane. If a quiet lane does go ahead as a trial, changes can be made.</p> <p>All pilot sites will be consulted on and no decisions on whether to remove or make them permanent will be made until a pilot is evaluated.</p>
<p>37. COUNCILLOR BETHIA THOMAS</p> <p>I have recently met with a number of SEND parents in Faringdon. Talking to them was enlightening and I felt I was able to understand some of the issues they face supporting their children every day.</p> <p>We are considering setting up an informal support group for SEND Parents and Carers for children in our schools and we are considering options around this, including asking any experts in the field, either from individual schools, the trust, the voluntary sector or the council to join us to discuss various aspects of SEND provision within Faringdon.</p> <p>To start this process off on the right foot, could the Cabinet Member provide an update and overview of the provision at this point across all settings, including the new SEND school which is being built in Faringdon?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR SEAN GAUL, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE</p> <p>Thank you for raising this and for the work you have undertaken to engage directly with SEND parents and carers in Faringdon. Hearing from families about their lived experience is essential to ensuring our provision is responsive, inclusive and continuously improving.</p> <p>Current provision in Faringdon and Wantage</p> <p>SEND sufficiency planning across Oxfordshire is organised around nine planning areas, with Faringdon sitting within the <i>Faringdon and Wantage</i> area. Within this locality, there is a mix of specialist and enhanced mainstream provision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fitzwaryn School (Wantage, Propeller Trust) A community special school with capacity for 125 children and young people aged 3–19, supporting a wide range of needs including physical disabilities, learning difficulties and complex needs. • Enhanced Pathway provisions (primary and secondary): These offer a hybrid model between mainstream and specialist provision, enabling children to access personalised curricula within mainstream settings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Shrivenham CE Primary (Cambrian Trust)</i> – 10 places (ages 4–7), Communication and Interaction

- *Stockham CE Primary* – 10 places (ages 7–11), Communication and Interaction and SEMH
- *King Alfred's School* – 10 places (ages 11–13), supporting a broader range of needs

These pathways are a key part of our strategy to strengthen inclusion and meet needs earlier, reducing escalation where possible.

Planned expansion of specialist provision

We are significantly increasing specialist capacity in and around Faringdon to respond to rising demand:

- **Artemis Academy, Faringdon (Gallery Trust)**
 - 100 places for children aged 7–19
 - Specialising in SEMH and ASD
 - Being delivered through the Department for Education's free school programme
 - Construction is underway and on track for completion in February 2027, with opening planned for after February half-term
- **Wider South Oxfordshire provision (Didcot)**

While just outside the immediate locality, two new special schools are planned to open in 2029 (subject to approvals), providing capacity for a further 240 children:

 - One ASD/SEMH school (age 7+)
 - One all-age community special school (2–19)

Together, these developments will significantly strengthen sufficiency in the south-west of the county, reducing travel distances and improving access to appropriate provision.

In addition, as part of our SEND Reform programme, we are actively working with multi-academy trusts to establish further **resource base provision** (within

mainstream schools), initially focused on secondary but extending into primary over time.

Wider system improvements

Alongside increased capacity, our reform programme is focused on:

- Earlier identification and support through locality-based, multi-agency working
- Improved consistency and quality of provision across all schools
- Greater access to specialist advice within mainstream settings
- Stronger co-production with families

Engagement with parents and carers

We recognise that improving provision is not only about capacity, but also about relationships and trust. Across Oxfordshire, we are strengthening engagement with parents and carers through:

- Regular **parent carer forums and co-production activity** at both county and locality level
- **Local engagement events and listening sessions**
- Increased opportunities for families to shape services and provide feedback on their experiences

The proposal to establish an informal SEND parent and carer support group in Faringdon is very welcome. Local spaces for parents to connect, share experiences and engage directly with professionals can play a vital role in strengthening community support and ensuring services are responsive to need.

As part of our SEND Reform Plan, we are strengthening the role of the Oxfordshire Parent Carer Forum (PCF) in supporting locality-based delivery. This includes working alongside Family Hub and school clusters to facilitate local engagement, bring together parent voices, and support co-production of solutions at a community level. Through this approach, the PCF helps ensure that cluster-level planning and

	<p>provision are informed by lived experience and remain responsive to the needs of families.</p> <p>We will continue to work with partners across schools, trusts, the voluntary sector and council services to contribute expertise, provide information, and listen to feedback as this develops.</p>
<p>38. COUNCILLOR PAUL AUSTIN SARGENT</p> <p>Heat stress poses a significant health risk for the elderly, individuals with disabilities, and those living with underlying medical conditions. High temperatures can quickly overwhelm the body's ability to regulate itself, leading to dehydration, heat exhaustion, or even heatstroke.</p> <p>Older adults are particularly vulnerable because their bodies are less effective at cooling down, and certain medications can further increase the risk. People with chronic conditions, such as heart or respiratory problems, may find their symptoms worsening in hot weather. Similarly, those with mobility or cognitive impairments may struggle to take the necessary steps to stay cool and hydrated.</p> <p>I would like to inquire about Oxfordshire County Council's plans and initiatives to support retrofitting residential properties, particularly in more deprived neighbourhoods such as those in Gimsbury and on the Ruscote Estate in my Banbury division.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LAURA GORDON, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND ECONOMY</p> <p>As we are seeing this week, with temperatures of 37 degrees and a Red Extreme Heat Warning from the Met Office, climate change is having a significant impact and we will continue to see increased instances of extreme heat.</p> <p>Extreme heat is a significant public health risk. With this June set to be the hottest June on record in Oxfordshire, we are continuing to invest and promote heat management to residents. Many people living in poorly designed or alternative housing or on low incomes struggle to keep their homes a comfortable and safe temperature. This is a particular risk for those living with health conditions and disabilities. Residents can access advice via the County Council's Keeping Cool webpages for practical and low-cost actions. This is supported by the seasonal campaign "Beat the Heat" which is targeted at higher-risk groups.</p> <p>OCC is also developing 'cool packs' for the first time this year, to distribute to residents at higher risk of heat impacts via routes including through community groups to help residents stay cool and safe in extreme heat. Through established programmes such as Better Housing Better Health (BHBH) and shortly through the developing Heat Safe Homes programme residents will be able to access, tailored advice through home visits. This targeted and tailored advice supports residents during heat waves and during colder weather so addressing inequalities through the wider determinants of health.</p>

<p>Are there any schemes or guidance for homeowners to improve insulation, ventilation, or shading to maintain comfort during periods of extreme heat?</p>	<p>There is no central government funding currently specifically designed to support retrofit of homes that suffer from overheating. The council receives central government grants to support retrofitting measures for homes in fuel poverty. Whilst some measures deliverable under these schemes would also support summer cooling, the funding is designed to improve winter comfort and in practice the mechanics of the scheme limit its use to. The council is also aware of avoiding risks of exacerbating overheating through retrofit programmes designed to deal with winter cold issues. As such the council is participating in the national research programme HEARTH on Health, Net Zero and extreme heat which is studying the effects of retrofit on health.</p> <p>In communities we are providing training on risks associated with a changing climate and advising on producing community resilience plans. A small grant fund can support communities with measures to help with adaptation such as canopies to create shade in community areas or green roofs to help cooling.</p> <p>We'd be happy to meet and discuss what opportunities there might be to support community resilience to heatwaves in his division.</p>
<p>39. COUNCILLOR PAUL AUSTIN SARGENT</p> <p>What is being done across Oxfordshire to improve the outcomes for people with dual diagnosis (substance use and mental health), and how is the impact of existing intervention measured and reported?</p> <p>What joint commitments does Oxfordshire County Council have with lesser authorities and NHS commissioners/providers to reduce inequalities for</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR KATE GREGORY, CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND INEQUALITIES</p> <p>Summary response</p> <p>Oxfordshire is improving outcomes for people with co-occurring mental health and substance use needs through flexible treatment, earlier identification, co-ordinated support through integrated partnership working and targeted outreach. Impact is monitored through contract management, service reporting, reviews, feedback and national benchmarking, with further work needed to strengthen data on outcomes for people with dual diagnosis.</p> <p>1. What is being done to improve outcomes?</p>

people with dual diagnosis. How is this work being delivered in practice?

Oxfordshire Roads to Recovery, the commissioned community alcohol and drug service delivered by Turning Point, provides high quality flexible, person-centred support for people with substance use and mental health needs. This includes pharmacological support, psychosocial interventions, recovery-focused work and individual, group, home-based and digital options to reduce barriers to access. Support considers wider physical, mental and social needs, helping address these challenges together while promoting peer learning and mutual support.

In line with national guidance, the service identifies dual diagnosis early, does not exclude people because of substance use or mental health need, and considers wider physical, mental and social needs. It also supports professionals to understand substance use and referral pathways.

Current improvement priorities include reducing access barriers, strengthening links with primary care and mental health services, improving pathways for co-occurring needs, and ensuring support is responsive to neurodivergence. A new Lived Experience Advisory Group, due to begin in 2026, will inform service development, strategic planning and delivery of the new drugs and alcohol strategy.

2. How is this being delivered in practice?

Oxfordshire Roads to Recovery operates a 'no wrong door' approach and works closely with mental health services to reduce fragmentation and improve engagement for people with co-occurring conditions. This includes:

- Weekly in-reach at Littlemore forensic secure wards, the Warneford Hospital, and supported mental health accommodation, including MIND properties
- Work with the Emergency Department Psychiatric Service, including monthly staff supervision for complex cases
- Monthly attendance at Community Mental Health Team meetings
- Joint protocols with IAPT Talking Therapies and Keystone Primary Mental Health Teams to support rapid referrals and joint assessments

- Collaboration with Oxfordshire Health & Inclusion and CRSIS Home Treatment Teams to support people discharged from hospital with mental health and homelessness needs

These arrangements help bridge gaps between services. The ongoing review of the Co-occurring Mental Health and Substance Use Delivery Framework will further strengthen local pathways and responses.

Dual diagnosis is also a priority in the new drugs and alcohol strategy, shaped through stakeholder engagement across health, housing, criminal justice and community partners.

3. How is impact measured and reported?

Impact is monitored through quarterly contract monitoring, service reporting, outcome and service reviews, onsite visits, feedback and co-production. Required improvements are agreed with providers and tracked through contract management.

Data is submitted to the National Drug Treatment Monitoring System (NDTMS), enabling comparison with national, South East and other local authority benchmarks. Smaller-area data is available in some cases, but its use may be limited where low numbers risk identification. Outcomes for people with co-occurring mental health conditions are not yet routinely monitored. Strengthening this data will help identify unmet need, access issues, outcomes and inequalities.

Outreach and engagement also target areas of identified need, including Ruscote, Neithrop and Grimsbury, to reduce access barriers where possible.

4. What joint commitments are in place?

Oxfordshire County Council works with district councils, NHS commissioners and providers, and voluntary and community sector partners to reduce inequalities for people with dual diagnosis. This includes shared work through:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Oxfordshire Inclusion Health Partnership, bringing statutory, voluntary and community organisations together to improve outcomes for people facing significant inequalities.• Countywide Housing Steering Group, keeping substance use and mental health central to housing solutions.• Collaboration with Oxford Health Mental Health Crisis and Urgent Care Teams on alcohol and drug-related needs. <p>A practical example is the Oxfordshire Mental Health Outcomes Improvement Programme (MHOIP), where work with Oxford Health Mental Health Crisis and Urgent Care Teams is improving staff understanding of addiction and mental health, strengthening communication and referral pathways, increasing access to practical resources, and developing substance use champions.</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Appendix 1 – MQ32

Year	Month	Processing time (weeks)
2023	June	4
	July	3
	Aug	6
	Sept	6
	Oct	4
	Nov	4
	Dec	4
2024	Jan	3
	Feb	4
	Mar	3
	Apr	4
	May	4
	June	3
	July	5
	Aug	5
	Sept	3
	Oct	4
	Nov	4
	Dec	3
2025	Jan	3
	Feb	3
	Mar	4
	Apr	4

	May	6
	June	4
	July	6
	Aug	8
	Sept	12
	Oct	13
	Nov	14
	Dec	14
2026	Jan	12
	Feb	12
	Mar	8
	Apr	4
	May	3
	June	2

The Council has undergone a review of the blue badge service which began in April 2025. Collaboration between the Blue Badge Team and the Council's internal IT Development Team have worked on redesigning the application process and introducing automation to assist residents when applying for Blue Badges.

In September 2025 the Council went live with a new Fast Track service for terminally ill residents. This new service allows Health Professionals to request Blue Badges for their patients without delay, meaning Blue Badges can be with terminally ill residents in around 10/15 days. The feedback from our partners in health has been very positive.

Unfortunately, the wider improvements to the overall Blue Badge application form and system have been delayed due to unforeseen circumstances with our current supplier. The work internally is still ongoing, and we are looking to go live with aspects of improvements over the summer.

I appreciate that there have been delays to processing Blue Badge applications, however, as you can see from the tables above and below, the delay in processing Blue Badges has been due to the increased volume of applications received since the Congestion Charge has been introduced.

Unfortunately, residents have applied for Blue Badges to gain an exemption from paying the Congestion Charge, and the increased sustained volume in not just applications but emails and telephone calls extended processing times.

Month/Year	Apps Received	Apps Approved	Apps Refused
June 2024	481	289	74
July	592	551	139
Aug	572	541	164
Sept	536	390	87
Oct	538	477	109
Nov	460	502	122
Dec	312	311	90
Jan 2025	499	482	155
Feb	503	383	100
March	543	421	106
April	452	325	86
May	497	401	71

June	593	303	57
July	608	478	74
Aug	606	145	40
Sept	601	125	30
Oct	749	574	224
Nov	607	455	152
Dec	436	295	109
Jan 2026	606	322	91
Feb	553	318	79
March	661	293	77
April	608	481	155
May	532	756	199

This page is intentionally left blank

Item 15: Motion by Councillor Lee Evans

Amendment from the proposer

This Council notes that many people in our county suffer from progressive and life-limiting conditions, such as Motor Neurone Disease (MND) ~~is a rapidly progressing condition. Tragically, a third of people die within a year of diagnosis and half within two years.~~ As the disease progresses ~~these diseases progress~~, symptoms worsen and people's needs increase, often unpredictably.

This Council believes that people living with progressive ~~or terminal~~ conditions ~~like MND~~ deserve to live in safe and accessible homes, with as much independence and quality of life as possible. ~~To the extent that the County Council is involved in ensuring this is the case, we~~ We commit to doing ~~so~~ all we can to ensure this.

This Council notes that early interventions, as well as being good for individuals and their families, can save taxpayer's money by enabling people to manage their condition more effectively and reducing the need for critical interventions at a later stage.

This Council therefore resolves to ask the Cabinet Member for Adults to review the County Council's role in the Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) and report to the People Overview & Scrutiny Committee with:

- How the Council currently delivers its role in the DFG;
- Timeliness over the past three years for the County Council fulfilling its role in the DFG, including assessments and referrals;
- How the Council's processes could be improved, including the timeliness of assessments and the working relationship with District Councils and other partners;

Whether the County Council is acting quickly enough to ensure that target timeframes of 55 days for simple adaptations and 130 days for complex adaptations can be met for people living with MND and other progressive or terminal conditions.

Item 16: Motion from Councillor James Barlow

Amendment proposed by Councillor David Henwood

Council notes:

1. Climate impact is the Council's highest strategic risk.
2. The Oxfordshire Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (2024) identifies flooding and extreme heat as significant, growing climate risks; driven by warmer, wetter winters, more intense rainfall, prolonged summer heatwaves and increased built-up areas.
3. Impacts of climate and Nature collapse are acknowledged to be even greater than thought in 2024. They pose an existential threat to humanity and all ecosystems. Serious adaptation must become standard operating practice similar to the fiduciary duty to council tax-payers.

The assessment illustrates ~~the need for~~ large-scale space for water storage upstream and retention is vital, slowing water flow and ~~to decrease~~ flooding impacts downstream. Urban design is also key - to ~~both~~ prevent localised surface-water flooding, and build temperature resilience, preventing human-made "heat islands" which drive excess residents' deaths, especially ~~amongst~~ the most vulnerable.

Adaptation measures' success depends on multi-year, multi-stakeholder, community-based collaborations at impactful scale. Partners' (e.g. Environment Agency) 6-year funding cycles allow capacity to develop – starkly contrasting to the council's annual budget-setting process.

~~Council notes the success of adaptation measures depends on multi-year, multi-stakeholder and community-based collaborations at appropriate, impactful scale. Partners (e.g. Environment Agency) have 6-year funding cycles, allowing capacity and capability to develop appropriately – starkly contrasting to the Council's annual budget-setting process.~~

Council requests that cabinet considers:

- Significantly increased investment through the Council's remaining years' budget process to accelerate Oxfordshire's capacity and capability to convene and work at impactful scale, in multi-stakeholder action groups, embedding climate adaptation as standard operational procedure.
- ~~Multiple new councillor-supported, Supporting multiple~~ location-specific initiatives, convening, alongside working with councillors, officers, and partners organisations and local communities, to build resilience ~~capability~~ through collaborative action ~~into~~:
 - Reduce the risks associated with river, groundwater, surface water and flash flooding ~~Locations to mitigate or adapt to river, groundwater, urban and flash flooding~~
 - Improve resilience to extreme heat and mitigate urban heat island effects ~~Urban neighbourhoods to mitigate heat islands.~~

Item 17: Motion by Councillor Bethia Thomas

Amendment proposed by Councillor Ian Middleton

This Council:

- Recognises that dangerous parking, on pavements, paths and verges, in cycle lanes, around schools and in many other instances, causes significant danger and inconvenience to many people particularly those with limited mobility.
- Understands that this is a county wide issue, and many representative groups have worked tirelessly to highlight these issues.
- Recognises the findings from the Government's consultation, allowing the highway authority to enforce a ban on pavement, path and verge parking.
- Recognises that a ban on pavement parking needs to be accompanied by the consideration of parking as whole, and by extra budget from Government or else effective enforcement may not be possible.

Council therefore:

1. Fully endorses the objective to end pavement, path and verge parking and doing so in a way which suits local needs best.
2. Commits to supporting the use of civil enforcement powers in a way which enforces this policy effectively in a cost neutral way to the council.
3. Asks the Cabinet Member for Transport to:
 - a. Oversee the introduction of an enforcement mechanism, recognising that different areas will require different solutions.
 - b. Ensure that key stakeholders and representative groups of people with restricted mobility be consulted throughout.
 - c. Ensure that the Council follows the progress of Government's latest research exercise into this issue, responding as appropriate.
4. Asks the Leader of the Council to write to the Minister for Local Transport to request further resources to be able to implement the enforcement mechanism effectively.

This page is intentionally left blank